Icarus 205 (2010) 594-604

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Icarus

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/icarus

# Near-infrared spectral monitoring of Triton with IRTF/SpeX II: Spatial distribution and evolution of ices

W.M. Grundy<sup>a,\*,1</sup>, L.A. Young<sup>b,1</sup>, J.A. Stansberry<sup>c</sup>, M.W. Buie<sup>b</sup>, C.B. Olkin<sup>b,1</sup>, E.F. Young<sup>b,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Lowell Observatory, 1400 W. Mars Hill Rd., Flagstaff, AZ 86001, USA

<sup>b</sup> Southwest Research Institute, 1050 Walnut St., Boulder, CO 80302, USA

<sup>c</sup> Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 Cherry Ave., Tucson, AZ 87721, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 May 2009 Revised 1 August 2009 Accepted 17 August 2009 Available online 23 August 2009

Keywords: Ices Triton Neptune, satellites Infrared observations Spectroscopy

## ABSTRACT

This report arises from an ongoing program to monitor Neptune's largest moon Triton spectroscopically in the 0.8 to 2.4  $\mu$ m range using IRTF/SpeX. Our objective is to search for changes on Triton's surface as witnessed by changes in the infrared absorption bands of its surface ices N<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub>. We have recorded infrared spectra of Triton on 53 nights over the ten apparitions from 2000 to 2009. The data generally confirm our previously reported diurnal spectral variations of the ice absorption bands (Grundy and Young, 2004). Nitrogen ice shows a large amplitude variation, with much stronger absorption on Triton's Neptune-facing hemisphere. We present evidence for seasonal evolution of Triton's N<sub>2</sub> ice: the 2.15  $\mu$ m absorption band appears to be diminishing, especially on the Neptune-facing hemisphere. Although it is mostly dissolved in N<sub>2</sub> ice, Triton's CH<sub>4</sub> ice shows a very different longitudinal variation from the N<sub>2</sub> ice, challenging assumptions of how the two ices behave. Unlike Triton's CH<sub>4</sub> ice, the CO ice does exhibit longitudinal variation very similar to the N<sub>2</sub> ice, implying that CO and N<sub>2</sub> condense and sublimate together, maintaining a consistent mixing ratio. Absorptions by H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> ices show negligible variation as Triton rotates, implying very uniform and/or high latitude spatial distributions for those two non-volatile ices.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Despite its small size and frigid surface temperatures, Triton is a spectacularly dynamic world. Its geologically young surface (Croft et al., 1995; Stern and McKinnon, 2000; Schenk and Zahnle, 2007) is partially coated with a veneer of volatile ices that support and interact with a seasonally-variable atmosphere. Having been imaged up-close by the Voyager 2 spacecraft in 1989, Triton's role is crucial in guiding our thinking about surface–atmosphere interactions on as-yet-unexplored, small, icy planets like Pluto, Eris, and Makemake with similar surface volatile inventories (e.g., Owen et al., 1993; Licandro et al., 2006; Tegler et al., 2008; Abernathy et al., 2009; Merlin et al., 2009). As reviewed by Grundy and Young (2004, hereafter "Paper 1"), Triton's complex seasonal cycle is expected to drive large regional variations in solar heating, leading to dramatic changes in atmospheric pressure and seasonal redistribution of the volatile ices (e.g., Trafton et al., 1998). Observational

evidence for ongoing seasonal change on Triton includes its evolving photometric colors at visible and ultraviolet wavelengths (Smith et al., 1989; Buratti et al., 1994; Brown et al., 1995; Young and Stern, 2001) and its recently-expanding atmosphere (Elliot et al., 2000). There have also been tentative reports of intermittent or short-term visible wavelength changes (Cruikshank et al., 1979; Buratti et al., 1999; Hicks and Buratti, 2004), as well as longer term evolution at near-infrared wavelengths (reviewed by Paper 1).

Reflectance spectroscopy in the near-infrared spectral region  $(0.7-2.5 \ \mu m)$  is especially sensitive to the composition, texture, and distribution of volatile ices on Triton's surface. Observable changes at these wavelengths are expected as ices sublimate or condense. We have been monitoring Triton's near-infrared spectrum for ten years with a single instrument and telescope combination in an effort to detect spectral changes over a variety of timescales. These observations also yield information about the spatial distribution of Triton's ices.

## 2. Observations and reduction

We successfully observed Triton on 53 nights during 2000–2009 at NASA's Infrared Telescope Facility (IRTF) on Mauna Kea, listed in Table 1. The data were collected using the short cross-dis-



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail address: W.Grundy@lowell.edu (W.M. Grundy).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Visiting or remote Astronomer at the Infrared Telescope Facility, which is operated by the University of Hawaii under Cooperative Agreement no. NCC 5-538 with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Science Mission Directorate, Planetary Astronomy Program.

<sup>0019-1035/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2009.08.005

Circumstances of observations.

Longitude (*E)         Latitude (*S)           2000/07/20         11.56         Couds, 3.2"         316.3         80.0         0.9         9           2001/07/01         11.256         Couds, 3.2"         316.3         49.9         0.84         67           2001/07/05         12.29         Hense, 1.1"         74.6         49.9         0.78         64           2001/07/06         12.29         Heave (rams, 0.9"         18.43         49.9         0.75         56           2001/07/07         12.07         Giras, 1.0"         195.7         49.9         0.72         880           2001/07/08         S.2.4         Cace, 0.6"         0.7         49.8         0.55         54           2002/07/18         S.2.4         Cace, 0.6"         12.43         49.8         0.44         68           2002/07/19         S.3.1         Thin cirns, 0.8"         124.58         49.8         0.44         68           2002/07/19         S.3.1         Thin cirns, 0.8"         30.5         48.8         0.42         68           2002/07/29         Thin cirns, 0.8"         30.5         48.8         0.42         68           2002/07/29         Thin cirns, 0.8"         30.2         48.4	UT date of observation mean-time	Sky conditions and H band image size	Sub-Earth		Phase angle (°)	Triton integration (min)
00000722 817         Hexy Grass, 0.8"         3445         \$00         0.9         9           20010704 12:05         Hexy Grass, 1.1"         11.9         49.9         0.041         67           20010706 12:03         Hexy Grass, 1.1"         11.9         49.9         0.041         68           20010706 12:03         Hexy Grass, 1.0"         195.7         49.9         0.75         56           20010707 11:14         Clear, 1.1"         255.9         49.9         0.72         80           20020717 55:24         Clear, 0.6"         0.7         49.8         0.52         54           20020717 55:3         Clara, 0.6"         12.43         49.8         0.49         64           20020717 55:3         Clara, 0.6"         12.44         49.8         0.49         64           20020717 52:57         Thin cirrus, 0.6"         8.2         49.8         0.49         64           20020707 19:27         Thin cirrus, 0.6"         8.2         49.8         0.43         64           20020707 19:27         Thin cirrus, 0.5"         245.8         49.9         0.47         44           20020707 19:27         Thin cirrus, 0.5"         12.10         49.8         0.40         20			Longitude (°E)	Latitude (°S)		
2001070311:56         Clouds, 25 <sup>-1</sup> 310.3         49.9         0.87         47           20010706 12:25         Heavy Cirrus, 07'         11.4         49.9         0.84         67           20010706 12:23         Heavy Cirrus, 07'         134.3         49.9         0.78         64           20010706 12:203         Heavy Cirrus, 07'         19.57         49.9         0.72         80           20010707 12:07         Cirrus, 10''         0.73         40.8         0.85         54           20020715 9:24         Scattered clouds, 0.7''         61.9         40.8         0.49         64           20020717 9:33         Thin cirrus, 0.8''         124.3         49.8         0.49         64           20020717 9:33         Thin cirrus, 0.8''         30.6.3         48.8         0.49         64           20020717 9:32         Thin cirrus, 0.8''         30.6.3         48.8         0.42         68           20020717 9:23         Thin cirrus, 0.8''         10.1         49.8         0.36         64           20020717 9:23         Thin cirrus, 0.7''         10.1         49.9         1.4         64           20020717 9:23         Thin cirrus, 0.7''         10.4         49.9         1.4	2000/07/22 9:17	Heavy cirrus, 0.8"	344.5	50.0	0.19	9
200107061         12.05         Heavy Cirrus, 1.1"         11.9         49.9         0.84         67           200107061         12.03         Heavy Cirrus, 0.9"         134.3         49.9         0.75         66           2001070761         12.04         Cirrus, 1.0"         125.5         49.9         0.72         80           2001070761         12.04         Cierus, 0.6"         0.7         49.8         0.55         54           200207176         52.4         Cierus, 0.6"         124.3         49.8         0.52         84           200207178         S-56         Timi cirus, 0.6"         124.5         49.8         0.46         68           20020718         S-56         Timi cirus, 0.8"         306.9         49.8         0.42         68           20020719         S-27         Timi cirus, 0.6"         82         49.9         0.47         96           20020712         S-21         Cierus, 0.5"         11.0         49.8         0.38         68           20020712         Cierus, 0.5"         21.0         49.9         1.34         84           20020716         S-32         49.8         1.33         40           20020716         S-32 <t< td=""><td>2001/07/03 11:56</td><td>Clouds. 2.5"</td><td>310.3</td><td>49.9</td><td>0.87</td><td>47</td></t<>	2001/07/03 11:56	Clouds. 2.5"	310.3	49.9	0.87	47
200107706 12:39         Crms 1.0"         74.6         49.9         0.81         48           200107706 12:03         Howy crms, 00"         134.3         49.9         0.75         56           20010770 12:07         Crms 1.0"         195.7         49.9         0.72         80           200207716 9:24         Cat. 0.6"         0.7         49.8         0.55         54           200207716 9:24         Sattered clouds, 0.7"         174.3         49.8         0.52         84           200207716 9:36         Tina crms, 0.8"         124.5         49.8         0.42         68           200207719 9:31         Tina crms, 0.8"         306.9         49.8         0.42         68           200207712 9:29         Tina crms, 0.6"         82         49.8         0.39         68           200207712 9:29         Clear, 0.7"         69.1         49.9         0.47         96           20020772 9:11:59         Howy crms, 0.5"         204.9         1.6         1.6         84           20020772 9:11:59         Howy crms, 0.7"         186.5         49.7         0.12         28           20020772 9:11:59         Howy crms, 0.7"         186.5         49.7         0.12         28	2001/07/04 12:05	Heavy Cirrus, 1.1"	11.9	49.9	0.84	67
20010706         12:03         Heavy cirrus, 0.9"         134.3         49.9         0.78         64           200107078         11:44         Clear, 1.1"         255.9         49.9         0.72         80           20020715         9:34         Clear, 0.6"         0.7         49.8         0.58         52           20020717         9:34         Stattered clouds, 0.7"         61.9         49.8         0.05         54           20020717         9:35         Cirrus, 0.8"         184.8         49.8         0.49         64           20020717         9:31         Thin cirrus, 0.8"         306.9         49.8         0.42         68           20020717         9:31         Thin cirrus, 0.8"         306.9         49.8         0.42         68           20020717         9:31         Thin cirrus, 0.8"         26.2         49.9         1.34         84           20020717         9:31         Thin cirrus, 0.8"         26.4         49.9         1.34         84           20020716         6:19         Cirrus, 1.7"         10.1         49.8         0.98         64           20020716         6:19         Cirrus, 0.5"         211.6         49.4         0.72         1.43 <td>2001/07/05 12:39</td> <td>Cirrus, 1.1"</td> <td>74.6</td> <td>49.9</td> <td>0.81</td> <td>48</td>	2001/07/05 12:39	Cirrus, 1.1"	74.6	49.9	0.81	48
2001/07/07         12:07         CITINS 1:07         195.7         49.9         0.75         56           2001/07/08         11:44         Clear, 1.07         0.7         49.8         0.55         54           2002/07/16         9:24         Scattered (doug, 0.7"         61.9         49.8         0.55         54           2002/07/18         9:36         Thin cirrus, 0.8"         124.3         49.8         0.42         68           2002/07/19         9:31         Thin cirrus, 0.6"         34.8         49.8         0.46         68           2002/07/19         9:31         Thin cirrus, 0.6"         8.2         49.8         0.36         64           2002/07/12         12:9         Thin cirrus, 0.6"         8.2         49.8         0.36         64           2002/07/12         11:0         Clear, 0.7"         69.1         49.9         0.47         48.1           2002/07/16         11:0         Clear, 0.5"         11:0         49.9         1.6"         48.1           2002/07/16         11:5         49.7         0.19         58         200.307/07         11:5         49.1         0.21         25.2           2003/07/01         15:2         Clear, 0.6"	2001/07/06 12:03	Heavy cirrus, 0.9"	134.3	49.9	0.78	64
20010708 11:44         Chen, 0.6"         0.7         49.8         0.52           200207115 9:34         Scattered clouds, 0.7"         61.9         49.8         0.52           200207171 9:35         Cirns, 0.8"         124.3         49.8         0.42         64           20020717 9:9:31         Thin cirns, 0.8"         184.8         49.8         0.49         64           20020717 9:9:31         Thin cirns, 0.8"         306.9         49.8         0.42         68           20020717 9:9:27         Thin cirns, 0.8"         306.9         49.8         0.42         68           20020717 9:2:21         Cirns, 0.5"         69.1         49.8         0.42         68           20020712 9:21         Cirns, 0.5"         104.4         49.9         1.47         84           20021010 6:51         Cirns, 0.5"         104.5         49.9         1.47         84           20021010 10:52         Cirns, 0.5"         196.4         49.7         0.19         58           20021010 10:53         Parkby clouds, 0.5"         275.4         49.8         1.80         88           20031010 10:53         Parkby clouds, 0.5"         275.4         49.8         1.10         46           200410128 11:44	2001/07/07 12:07	Cirrus, 1.0"	195.7	49.9	0.75	56
2002/07/15/8:24         Car. 06"         0.7         49.8         0.58         52           2002/07/15/8:34         Cartas, 0.8"         124.3         49.8         0.49         64           2002/07/18/9:35         Thin cirrus, 0.8"         124.3         49.8         0.49         64           2002/07/18/9:36         Thin cirrus, 0.8"         245.8         40.8         0.46         68           2002/07/12/9:27         Thin cirrus, 0.6"         8.2         49.8         0.39         68           2002/07/12/9:29         Thin cirrus, 0.6"         8.2         49.8         0.39         68           2002/07/12/9:22         Clare, 0.7"         69.1         49.8         0.47         96           2002/07/04/13:38         Clare, 0.5"         249.5         49.9         1.67         48           2003/07/04/13:38         Clare, 0.5"         101.0         49.6         0.98         64           2003/07/04/13:38         Clare, 0.5"         196.4         49.7         0.21         28           2003/07/04/13:38         Clare, 0.5"         196.4         49.7         0.21         52           2003/07/04/13:53         Pathy cloads, 0.5"         127.5         49.8         1.10         152	2001/07/08 11:44	Clear. 1.1"	255.9	49.9	0.72	80
2002(07):16:9:24         Scattered cloads, 07"         61.9         49.8         0.55         54           2002(07):18:9:36         Tinix cirus, 08"         184.8         40.8         0.46         68           2002(07):20:9:27         Tinix cirus, 08"         306.9         49.8         0.42         68           2002(07):20:9:27         Tinix cirus, 06"         8.2         49.8         0.42         68           2002(07):21:9:23         Tinix cirus, 06"         8.2         49.8         0.42         68           2002(07):21:9:21         Clear, 07"         69.1         49.8         0.36         64           2002(07):22:9:12         Clear, 0.5"         249.5         49.9         1.34         84           2002(07):24:13:58         Clear, 0.5"         10.10         49.6         0.98         64           2003(07):01:358         Clear, 0.5"         19.64         49.7         0.19         58           2003(07):01:359         Heavy cirus, 0.7"         186.5         49.7         0.19         58           2003(07):01:350         Clear, 0.6"         124.8         49.3         1.8         203           2003(07):01:351         Patry cloady, 0.5"         127.5         49.8         1.30         3	2002/07/15 9:24	Clear, 0.6"	0.7	49.8	0.58	52
2002(07)17 9:33         Crrux, 08"         124.3         49.8         0.52         84           2002(07)18 9:36         Thin cirrux, 0.8"         184.8         49.8         0.46         68           2002(07)29 9:31         Thin cirrux, 0.8"         365.9         49.8         0.42         683           2002(07)21 9:29         Thin cirrux, 0.6"         8.2         49.8         0.39         683           2002(07)21 9:29         Claru, 0.7"         69.1         49.8         0.47         696           2002(08)16 11:10         Cloaux, 1.0"         164.1         49.9         0.47         486           2002(09)16 6:12         Unrecorded, 0.6"         210.0         49.9         1.4'         84           2003(07)04 13:58         Clear, 0.5"         196.4         49.7         0.1         28           2003(07)04 13:58         Clear, 0.6"         22.6         49.8         1.13         40           2003(09)10 10:59         Detry cirrus, 0.7"         196.4         49.7         0.19         52           2004(06)21 21:51         Patry clouds, 0.5"         194.9         49.3         1.13         40           2003(09)10 10:52         Clear, 0.7"         67.7         49.5         1.15         48 <td>2002/07/16 9:24</td> <td>Scattered clouds, 0.7"</td> <td>61.9</td> <td>49.8</td> <td>0.55</td> <td>54</td>	2002/07/16 9:24	Scattered clouds, 0.7"	61.9	49.8	0.55	54
2002(07) 18 9:36         Thin cirrus, 0 3"         184.8         49.8         0.49         64           2002(07) 29 9:27         Thin cirrus, 0 3"         306.9         49.8         0.42         68           2002(07) 29 9:27         Thin cirrus, 0 6"         82         49.8         0.36         68           2002(07) 22 9:21         Clear, 0.7"         69.1         49.8         0.36         84           2002(07) 22 9:21         Clear, 0.7"         164.1         49.9         0.47         96           2002(07) 23 9:22         Unrecorted, 06"         211.0         49.9         1.67         48           2003(07) 13:53         Clear, 0.5"         196.4         49.7         0.21         28           2003(07) 10:95         Same clouds, 0.5"         196.4         49.7         0.19         58           2003(08) 10:99         Same clouds, 0.5"         196.4         49.7         0.19         58           2003(08) 10:91         Same clouds, 0.5"         196.4         49.7         0.19         58           2003(08) 10:92         Clear, 0.5"         194.9         49.3         1.19         152           2003(08) 10:93         Clear, 0.5"         194.9         49.3         1.19         164	2002/07/17 9:53	Cirrus, 0.8"	124.3	49.8	0.52	84
2002(07)(19 9:31         Thin cirrus, 0.3"         245.8         44.8         0.46         68           2002(07)(21 9:29         Thin cirrus, 0.6"         8.2         44.8         0.39         68           2002(07)(21 9:29         Thin cirrus, 0.6"         8.2         44.8         0.39         68           2002(07)(21 9:29)         Clear, 0.7"         69.1         49.8         0.47         495           2002(08)(6 11:10         Cloaks, 1.0"         164.1         49.9         0.47         48           2003(07)(41 3:58         Clear, 0.5"         249.5         49.9         1.34         84           2003(07)(41 3:58         Clear, 0.5"         196.4         49.7         0.19         58           2003(07)(91 9:52         Clear, 0.6"         192.6         49.8         1.13         400           2003(09)(19:9):32         Clear, 0.6"         122.6         49.8         1.13         40           2003(08)(10:0):93         Clear, 0.6"         124.9         49.3         1.19         152           2004(06)(21 11:44         Clear, 0.6"         124.9         49.4         0.29         36           2004(08)(12 12:19         Clear, 0.6"         134.9         45.3         130         444	2002/07/18 9:36	Thin cirrus, 0.8"	184.8	49.8	0.49	64
2002,07/20         9:27         Thin cirrus, 0.6"         30:69         49.8         0.42         68           2002/07/21         9:29         Thin cirrus, 0.6"         8.2         49.8         0.36         84           2002/07/22         9:21         Clear, 0.7"         69.1         49.8         0.36         84           2002/09/16         Ginas, 0.6"         114.1         49.9         0.47         96           2002/09/16         Ginas, 0.5"         124.0         49.9         1.34         84           2003/07/10         13:58         Clear, 0.5"         101.0         49.6         0.98         64           2003/07/10         11:59         Heavy cirrus, 0.7"         186.5         49.7         0.19         58           2003/08/10         0:93         Clear, 0.6"         202.6         49.8         1.13         40           2003/07/20         11:53         Patchy cloads, 0.5"         194.9         49.3         1.19         152           2004/08/21         Clear, 0.6"         228.0         49.4         0.29         36           2004/08/21         Clear, 0.7"         128.0         48.1         1.3         48           2004/08/21         Lion <td< td=""><td>2002/07/19 9:31</td><td>Thin cirrus, 0.7"</td><td>245.8</td><td>49.8</td><td>0.46</td><td>68</td></td<>	2002/07/19 9:31	Thin cirrus, 0.7"	245.8	49.8	0.46	68
2002 (07) 21 9:29         Thin cirrus, 0.6"         8.2         4.88         0.39         68           2002 (07) 29 921         Clear, 0.7"         69.1         49.8         0.36         84           2002 (09) 16 6:19         Cirrus, 0.5"         249.5         49.9         1.34         84           2003 (07) 6:32         Unrecorded, 0.6"         211.0         49.9         1.67         48           2003 (07) 6:32         Unrecorded, 0.5"         101.0         49.8         0.98         64           2003 (07) 13:59         Heavy cirus, 0.7"         186.5         49.7         0.21         28           2003 (09) 19:32         Clear, 0.6"         292.6         49.8         1.13         40           2004 (06) 21 13:07         Cirus, 0.5"         132.4         49.4         0.29         36           2004 (06) 21 13:07         Cirus, 0.5"         132.4         49.3         1.19         152           2004 (07) 21 10:51         Clear, 1.0"         161.7         49.6         1.15         48           2004 (07) 21 10:51         Clear, 0.7"         265.2         49.3         1.10         146           2005 (07) 49 15.3         Clear, 0.7"         265.2         49.3         1.20         48	2002/07/20 9:27	Thin cirrus, 0.8"	306.9	49.8	0.42	68
2002(7)/22 9:21         Clear, 0.7"         69.1         49.8         0.36         84           2002(98)/16 6:19         Cirux, 0.5"         249.5         49.9         1.34         84           2002(98)/16 6:19         Cirux, 0.5"         249.5         49.9         1.34         84           2003(7)/10/3 6:32         Unrecorded, 0.6"         211.0         49.9         1.67         48           2003(7)/20 11:59         Heavy cirux, 0.7"         186.5         49.7         0.19         58           2003(90/10 9:32         Clear, 0.6"         222.6         49.8         1.13         40           2003(90/10 9:32         Clear, 0.6"         228.0         49.3         1.19         152           2004(90/12 12:19         Clear, 0.7"         161.7         49.5         0.21         52           2004(90/12 12:19         Clear, 0.7"         18.0         48.4         52           2004(90/12 10:51         Clear, 0.7"         24.3         48.9         1.10         146           2005(98/10 10:22         Cirux, 0.6"         133.9         49.1         0.25         44           2005(98/10 10:22         Cirux, 0.6"         133.9         49.1         0.15         76           2005(98/1	2002/07/21 9:29	Thin cirrus, 0.6"	8.2	49.8	0.39	68
2002/08/16 11:10       Clouds, 1.0"       164.1       49.9       0.47       96         2002/10/03 6:32       Unrecorded, 0.6"       211.0       49.9       1.34       84         2003/07/04 13:58       Clear, 0.5"       101.0       49.6       0.98       64         2003/07/29 11:59       Heavy cirrus, 0.7"       186.5       49.7       0.19       58         2003/07/20 13:59       Some clouds, 0.5"       196.4       49.7       0.19       58         2003/07/20 19:32       Clear, 0.6"       222.6       49.8       1.13       40         2003/07/20 19:32       Clear, 0.6"       228.2       49.4       0.29       36         2004/07/21 11:44       Clear, 1.0"       161.7       49.5       0.21       52         2004/07/21 10:51       Clear, 0.7"       67.7       49.5       0.21       52         2004/07/21 10:51       Clear, 0.7"       151.5       48.1       0.46       68         2005/07/01 13:06       Clear, 0.7"       151.5       49.1       0.15       68         2005/08/01 10:22       Cirus, 0.6"       151.5       49.1       0.15       68         2005/08/01 10:22       Clear, 0.5"       252.4       49.3       1.16	2002/07/22 9:21	Clear, 0.7"	69.1	49.8	0.36	84
2002/10/6 6:19         Crrus, 0.5"         249.5         49.9         1.34         84           2003/10/14 13:58         Clear, 0.5"         101.0         49.9         1.67         48           2003/07/24 11:59         Heavy cirus, 0.7"         186.5         49.7         0.21         28           2003/08/10.99         Some clouds, 0.5"         196.4         49.7         0.21         28           2003/09/10 9:32         Clear, 0.6"         292.6         49.8         1.13         40           2003/09/10 5:51         Pathy clouds, 0.5"         327.5         49.8         1.10         152           2004/07/28 11:44         Clear, 0.7"         194.9         49.3         1.19         152           2004/07/29 11:44         Clear, 0.7"         49.6         1.84         52           2004/08/12 12:19         Clear, 0.7"         284.0         49.6         1.84         52           2004/08/10 10:2         Cirus, 0.6"         184.9         1.10         146           2005/08/01 10:2         Cirus, 0.6"         151.5         49.1         0.15         76           2005/08/01 9:5:3         Cirus, 0.6"         152.9         49.3         1.37         128           2005/08/01 9:5:0	2002/08/16 11:10	Clouds, 1.0"	164.1	49.9	0.47	96
2020/10/06 6:32         Unrecorded. 0.6"         211.0         49.9         1.67         48           2003/07/04 13:58         Clear. 0.5"         10.10         49.6         0.98         64           2003/07/21 11:59         Heavy cirrus, 0.7"         18.65         49.7         0.21         28           2003/08/10 10:09         Some clouds, 0.5"         196.4         49.7         0.19         58           2003/09/10 09:32         Clear, 0.6"         22.6         49.8         1.13         40           2004/07/28 13:07         Cirrus, 0.5"         194.9         49.3         1.19         152           2004/07/28 13:07         Cirrus, 0.5"         18.0         49.6         1.84         52           2004/07/28 11:44         Clear, 0.7"         67.7         49.5         0.21         52           2004/07/28 11:44         Clear, 0.7"         18.0         49.6         1.84         52           2004/07/28 11:20:51         Clear, 0.7"         131.5         49.1         0.25         44           2005/09/01 10:22         Cirus, 0.6"         151.5         49.1         0.25         44           2005/09/01 10:22         Clear, 0.6"         152.2         49.3         1.26         68 <td>2002/09/16 6:19</td> <td>Cirrus, 0.5"</td> <td>249.5</td> <td>49.9</td> <td>1.34</td> <td>84</td>	2002/09/16 6:19	Cirrus, 0.5"	249.5	49.9	1.34	84
2003/07/04 13:58         Clear, 0.5"         101.0         49.6         0.98         64           2003/07/29 11:59         Heavy circus, 0.7"         186.5         49.7         0.19         58           2003/09/10 9:32         Clear, 0.6"         292.6         49.8         1.13         40           2003/09/10 5:51         Pathy clouds, 0.5"         327.5         49.8         1.80         88           2004/09/12 10:51         Clear, 0.6"         228.0         49.3         1.19         152           2004/09/12 10:51         Clear, 0.7"         67.7         49.5         0.21         52           2004/09/12 10:51         Clear, 0.7"         161.7         49.6         1.84         52           2004/09/12 10:51         Clear, 0.7"         244.3         48.9         1.10         146           2005/08/01 10:22         Clear, 0.7"         244.3         48.9         1.05         76           2005/08/01 10:22         Clear, 0.4"         62.3         48.4         18.6         40           2005/08/01 10:22         Clear, 0.4"         157.9         48.5         1.37         128           2005/08/01 10:22         Clear, 0.4"         157.9         48.4         18.6         40	2002/10/03 6:32	Unrecorded, 0.6"	211.0	49.9	1.67	48
2003/07/29 11:59       Havy cirrus, 0.7"       186.5       49.7       0.21       28         2003/08/10 10:09       Some clouds, 0.5"       196.4       49.7       0.19       58         2003/08/10 10:9:32       Clear, 0.6"       292.6       49.8       1.13       40         2003/19/16 5:51       Patchy clouds, 0.5"       327.5       49.8       1.80       88         2004/06/28 13:07       Cirrus, 0.5"       194.9       49.3       1.19       152         2004/07/28 11:44       Clear, 0.6"       228.0       49.4       0.29       36         2004/09/12 12:19       Clear, 0.7"       67.7       49.5       0.21       52         2004/09/12 10:51       Clear, 0.7"       18.0       49.6       1.84       52         2005/09/10 10:52       Clear, 0.7"       243.3       48.9       1.10       146         2005/09/19 8:02       Clear, 0.5"       265.2       49.3       1.26       68         2005/09/19 8:02       Clear, 0.5"       265.2       49.3       1.26       68         2005/09/19 8:02       Clear, 0.5"       265.2       49.3       1.26       68         2005/09/19 8:02       Clear, 0.5"       252.6       47.9       1.43	2003/07/04 13:58	Clear, 0.5"	101.0	49.6	0.98	64
2003(08)(10 10:09)         Some clouds, 0.5"         196.4         49.7         0.19         S8           2003(09)(10 9:32)         Clear, 0.6"         292.6         49.8         1.13         40           2003(10)(15 5:1)         Patchy clouds, 0.5"         327.5         49.8         1.80         88           2004(07)28 13:07         Cirrus, 0.5"         194.9         49.3         1.19         152           2004(09)[2 12:19         Clear, 0.7"         67.7         49.5         0.21         52           2004(09)[12 16:13         Party cloudy, 0.6"         18.0         49.6         1.84         52           2005(07)(04 13:06         Clear, 0.7"         244.3         48.9         1.10         146           2005(08)(04 9:53         Cirrus, 0.6"         151.5         49.1         0.15         76           2005(08)(04 9:53         Cirrus, 0.4"         52.3         44.4         1.86         40           2005(08)(04 9:53         Cirrus, 0.4"         157.9         48.5         1.37         128           2006(05/26 13:39         Cirrus, 0.4"         157.9         48.5         1.37         128           2006(08/26 11:01         Clear, 0.7"         166.0         48.8         0.62         48	2003/07/29 11:59	Heavy cirrus, 0.7"	186.5	49.7	0.21	28
2003/09/10 9:32       Clear, 0.6"       292.6       49.8       1.13       40         2003/10/16 5:51       Patchy clouds, 0.5"       327.5       49.8       1.80       88         2004/06/28 13:07       Cirrus, 0.5"       194.9       49.3       1.19       152         2004/07/28 11:44       Clear, 0.7"       67.7       49.5       0.21       52         2004/09/12 10:51       Clear, 0.7"       161.7       49.6       1.84       52         2005/07/04 13:06       Clear, 0.7"       244.3       48.9       1.10       146         2005/08/04 13:36       Cirrus, 0.7"       333.9       49.1       0.15       76         2005/08/04 13:36       Cirrus, 0.7"       333.9       49.1       0.15       76         2005/08/04 13:36       Cirrus, 0.7"       333.9       49.1       0.15       76         2005/08/04 13:36       Cirrus, 0.4"       52.2       49.3       1.26       68         2005/07/26 14:52       Clear, 0.4"       52.3       49.4       1.86       40         2006/07/26 11:01       Clear, 0.7"       188.0       48.6       0.53       72         2006/07/26 13:39       Thin cirrus, 0.6"       131.7       47.9       1.51	2003/08/10 10:09	Some clouds, 0.5"	196.4	49.7	0.19	58
2003/10/16 5:51       Patchy clouds, 0.5"       327.5       49.8       1.80       88         2004/06/28 13:07       Cirrus, 0.5"       194.9       49.3       1.19       152         2004/07/28 11:44       Clear, 0.7"       67.7       49.5       0.21       52         2004/07/28 11:44       Clear, 0.7"       67.7       49.5       0.21       52         2004/09/12 10:51       Clear, 1.0"       161.7       49.6       1.15       48         2005/07/04 13:06       Clear, 0.7"       244.3       48.9       1.10       146         2005/08/04 9:33       Cirrus, 0.6"       151.5       49.1       0.25       44         2005/08/04 9:33       Cirrus, 0.7"       233.9       49.1       0.15       76         2005/08/04 9:33       Cirrus, 0.4"       157.9       48.5       1.37       128         2006/08/26 14:52       Clear, 0.4"       62.3       48.4       1.86       40         2006/08/26 14:52       Clear, 0.4"       62.3       48.4       1.86       40         2006/08/20 14:52       Clear, 0.4"       157.9       48.5       1.37       128         2006/08/20 14:52       Clear, 0.4"       152       47.9       1.51       160 </td <td>2003/09/10 9:32</td> <td>Clear, 0.6"</td> <td>292.6</td> <td>49.8</td> <td>1.13</td> <td>40</td>	2003/09/10 9:32	Clear, 0.6"	292.6	49.8	1.13	40
2004/06/28 13:07       Cirrus, 0.5"       194.9       49.3       1.19       152         2004/07/28 11:44       Clear, 0.7"       67.7       49.5       0.21       52         2004/08/12 12:19       Clear, 0.7"       67.7       49.6       1.15       48         2004/08/12 10:51       Clear, 0.7"       244.3       48.9       1.10       146         2005/07/04 13:06       Clear, 0.7"       244.3       48.9       1.00       146         2005/07/04 13:06       Clear, 0.5"       265.2       49.3       1.26       68         2005/07/04 13:05       Clear, 0.5"       265.2       49.3       1.26       68         2005/07/04 13:05       Clear, 0.4"       57.9       48.5       1.37       128         2005/07/26 11:52       Clear, 0.4"       157.9       48.5       1.37       128         2006/07/26 11:01       Clear, 0.9"       188.0       48.6       0.53       72         2006/07/26 11:51       Clear, 0.6"       170.3       49.0       1.85       68         2007/06/21 13:15       Clear, 0.6"       133.7       47.9       1.51       160         2007/06/23 13:18       Clear, 0.6"       131.7       74.9       1.41       132 <td>2003/10/16 5:51</td> <td>Patchy clouds, 0.5"</td> <td>327.5</td> <td>49.8</td> <td>1.80</td> <td>88</td>	2003/10/16 5:51	Patchy clouds, 0.5"	327.5	49.8	1.80	88
2004(07/28 11:44         Clear, then fog, 0.6"         228.0         49.4         0.29         36           2004(08/12 12:19)         Clear, 0.7"         67.7         49.5         0.21         52           2004(08/12 10:51         Clear, 1.0"         161.7         49.6         1.15         48           2004(09/12 6:13         Partly cloudy, 0.8"         18.0         49.6         1.84         52           2005(08/01 10:22         Cirrus, 0.6"         151.5         49.1         0.15         76           2005(08/01 10:22         Clear, 0.7"         243.3         48.9         1.10         146           2005(08/04 9:53         Cirrus, 0.6"         333.9         49.1         0.15         76           2005(08/04 9:53         Cirrus, 0.4"         52.3         48.4         1.86         40           2006(06/26 13:39         Cirrus, 0.4"         157.9         48.5         1.37         128           2006(07/26 11:01         Clear, 0.9"         188.0         48.6         0.53         72           2006(08/26 13:39         Thin cirrus, 0.6"         131.7         47.9         1.51         160           2006(08/21 31:15         Clear, 0.8"         152         47.9         1.43         122	2004/06/28 13:07	Cirrus, 0.5"	194.9	49.3	1.19	152
2004/08/12 12:19       Clear, 0.7"       67.7       49.5       0.21       52         2004/09/12 10:51       Clear, 1.0"       161.7       49.6       1.15       48         2004/10/21 6:13       Partly cloudy, 0.8"       18.0       49.6       1.84       52         2005/07/04 13:06       Clear, 0.7"       244.3       48.9       1.10       146         2005/08/01 10:22       Cirrus, 0.6"       151.5       49.1       0.25       44         2005/09/19 8:02       Clear, 0.5"       265.2       49.3       1.26       68         2006/05/26 14:52       Clear, 0.4"       62.3       48.4       1.86       40         2006/05/26 13:39       Cirrus, 0.4"       157.9       48.5       1.37       128         2006/07/26 11:01       Clear, 0.7"       166.0       48.8       0.62       48         2006/07/26 11:01       Clear, 0.8"       170.3       49.0       1.85       68         2006/07/26 11:31       Clear, 0.8"       152.2       47.9       1.51       160         2007/06/21 13:15       Clear, 0.6"       133.7       47.9       1.51       160         2007/06/21 13:16       Humid, 1.2"       260.1       47.9       1.45       13	2004/07/28 11:44	Clear, then fog, 0.6"	228.0	49.4	0.29	36
2004/09/12 10:51Clear, $1.0''$ 161.749.61.15482004/10/21 6:13Party cloudy, $0.8''$ 18.049.61.84522005/07/04 13:06Clear, $0.7''$ 244.348.91.101462005/08/01 10:22Cirrus, $0.6''$ 151.549.10.25442005/08/04 9:53Cirrus, $0.7''$ 333.949.10.15762005/09/19 8:02Clear, $0.5''$ 265.249.31.26682006/05/26 14:52Clear, $0.5''$ 265.248.31.371282006/05/26 13:39Cirrus, $0.4''$ 157.948.51.371282006/07/26 13:01Clear, $0.7''$ 166.048.80.62482006/07/28 5:59Thin cirrus, $0.6''$ 170.349.01.85682007/06/21 13:15Clear, $0.6''$ 15.247.91.511602007/06/21 13:15Clear, $0.6''$ 15.247.91.511602007/06/21 13:18Clear, $0.6''$ 15.247.91.441282007/06/25 13:13Clear, $0.5''$ 137.547.91.431722007/06/26 13:09Heavy cirrus, $0.7''$ 198.647.91.431722007/06/27 13:16Humid, $1.2''$ 260.147.91.431722007/06/27 13:13Clear, $0.5''$ 138.347.41.01722007/06/26 13:09Heavy cirrus, $0.7''$ 198.647.91.431522007/06/27 13:16Humid, $1.2''$ 260.1	2004/08/12 12:19	Clear, 0.7"	67.7	49.5	0.21	52
2004/10/21 6:13Partly cloudy, 0.8"18.049.61.84522005/07/04 13:06Clear, 0.7"244.348.91.101462005/08/04 9:53Cirrus, 0.6"151.549.10.15762005/08/04 9:53Cieru, 0.5"265.249.31.26682006/05/25 14:52Clear, 0.4"62.348.41.86402006/05/25 14:52Clear, 0.4"157.948.51.371282006/05/25 13:39Cirrus, 0.4"170.348.60.53722006/07/25 11:01Clear, 0.7"166.048.80.62482006/07/25 13:15Clear, 0.8"252.647.91.531362007/06/21 33:15Clear, 0.6"15.247.91.531362007/06/21 31:15Clear, 0.6"15.247.91.451322007/06/21 31:16Clear, 0.5"137.547.91.451322007/06/21 31:13Clear, 0.5"137.547.91.451322007/06/21 31:16Humid, 1.2"260.147.91.411322007/06/21 31:16Humid, 1.2"260.147.91.411322007/08/01 11:59Clear, 0.5"138.347.41.01722008/08/12 12:24Clear, 0.5"318.347.41.01722008/09/11 10:57Clear, 0.6"88.147.80.55562008/07/28 15:5Party Cloudy, 0.5"288.548.01.32602008/07/28 15:5 <td>2004/09/12 10:51</td> <td>Clear, 1.0"</td> <td>161.7</td> <td>49.6</td> <td>1.15</td> <td>48</td>	2004/09/12 10:51	Clear, 1.0"	161.7	49.6	1.15	48
2005/07/04 13:06         Clear, 0.7"         244.3         48.9         1.10         146           2005/08/01 10:22         Cirrus, 0.6"         151.5         49.1         0.25         44           2005/08/04 9:53         Cirrus, 0.7"         333.9         49.1         0.15         76           2005/09/19 8:02         Clear, 0.5"         265.2         49.3         1.26         68           2006/05/26 14:52         Clear, 0.4"         62.3         48.4         1.86         40           2006/06/26 13:39         Cirrus, 0.4"         157.9         48.5         1.37         128           2006/07/26 11:01         Clear, 0.9"         188.0         48.6         0.53         72           2006/07/26 11:31         Clear, 0.8"         170.3         49.0         1.85         68           2007/06/21 13:15         Clear, 0.6"         131.7         47.9         1.51         160           2007/06/21 13:16         Thin cirrus, 0.6"         131.7         47.9         1.45         132           2007/06/21 13:18         Clear, 0.5"         137.5         47.9         1.45         132           2007/06/21 13:18         Clear, 0.5"         137.5         47.9         1.41         132	2004/10/21 6:13	Partly cloudy, 0.8"	18.0	49.6	1.84	52
2005/08/01 10:22         Cirrus, 0.6"         151.5         49.1         0.25         44           2005/08/04 9:53         Cirrus, 0.7"         333.9         49.1         0.15         76           2005/09/19 8:02         Clear, 0.5"         265.2         49.3         1.26         68           2006/05/26 14:52         Clear, 0.4"         62.3         48.4         1.86         40           2006/06/26 13:39         Cirrus, 0.4"         157.9         48.5         1.37         128           2006/07/26 11:01         Clear, 0.7"         166.0         48.8         0.62         48           2006/07/26 13:15         Clear, 0.6"         170.3         49.0         1.85         68           2007/06/21 13:15         Clear, 0.6"         313.7         47.9         1.51         160           2007/06/22 13:10         Thin cirrus, 0.6"         313.7         47.9         1.41         160           2007/06/24 14:06         Clear, 0.7"         78.5         47.9         1.47         88           2007/06/24 13:06         Clear, 0.7"         198.6         47.9         1.43         172           2007/06/26 13:09         Heavy cirrus, 0.7"         198.6         47.9         1.43         172	2005/07/04 13:06	Clear, 0.7"	244.3	48.9	1.10	146
2005/08/04 9:53Cirrus, 0.7"333.949.10.15762005/09/19 8:02Clear, 0.5"265.249.31.26682006/05/26 13:39Cirrus, 0.4"157.948.41.86402006/07/26 11:01Clear, 0.9"188.048.60.53722006/07/26 11:01Clear, 0.7"166.048.80.62482006/07/26 11:01Clear, 0.7"166.048.80.62482006/07/26 11:31Clear, 0.8"252.647.91.531362007/06/21 13:15Clear, 0.8"252.647.91.511602007/06/21 3:10Thin cirrus, 0.6"313.747.91.511602007/06/25 13:13Clear, 0.6"177.547.91.47882007/06/25 13:13Clear, 0.5"137.547.91.431722007/06/26 13:09Heavy cirrus, 0.7"198.647.91.411322007/06/27 13:16Humid, 1.2"260.147.91.411322007/06/24 14:36Clear, 0.5"182.947.31.50562008/06/24 14:36Clear, 0.5"318.347.41.01722008/06/24 14:36Clear, 0.5"68.147.80.55562008/06/24 14:35Clear, 0.5"285.548.01.32602008/06/24 14:35Clear, 0.5"288.548.01.32602008/06/24 14:35Clear, 0.5"288.548.01.32602008/06/24 14:25 </td <td>2005/08/01 10:22</td> <td>Cirrus, 0.6"</td> <td>151.5</td> <td>49.1</td> <td>0.25</td> <td>44</td>	2005/08/01 10:22	Cirrus, 0.6"	151.5	49.1	0.25	44
2005/09/19 8:02Clear, 0.5"265.249.31.26682006/05/26 14:52Clear, 0.4"62.348.41.86402006/06/26 13:39Cirrus, 0.4"157.948.51.371282006/07/26 11:01Clear, 0.9"188.048.60.53722006/07/26 11:01Clear, 0.7"166.048.80.62482006/10/28 5:59Thin cirrus, 0.6"170.349.01.85682007/06/21 13:15Clear, 0.8"252.647.91.531362007/06/23 13:18Clear, 0.6"15.247.91.491282007/06/24 14:06Clear, 0.5"137.547.91.451322007/06/25 13:13Clear, 0.5"137.547.91.451322007/06/27 13:16Humid, 1.2"260.147.91.411322007/08/21 11:59Clear, 0.5"182.947.31.50562008/06/24 14:36Clear, 0.5"182.947.31.50562008/06/24 14:36Clear, 0.5"68.147.80.55562008/06/24 14:35Clear, 0.5"68.147.80.55562008/09/17 7:02Clear, 0.6"68.147.80.55562008/09/28 9:55Partly cloudy, 0.5"288.548.01.32602009/06/22 14:25Clear, 0.6"71.746.61.56802009/06/21 12:27Clear, 0.6"71.746.61.56802009/06/21 12:27	2005/08/04 9:53	Cirrus, 0.7"	333.9	49.1	0.15	76
2006/05/26 14:52Clear, 0.4"62.348.41.86402006/05/26 13:39Cirrus, 0.4"157.948.51.371282006/07/26 11:01Clear, 0.9"188.048.60.53722006/08/30 9:14Clear, 0.7"166.048.80.62482006/10/28 5:59Thin cirrus, 0.6"170.349.01.85682007/06/21 13:15Clear, 0.8"252.647.91.531362007/06/21 31:18Clear, 0.6"15.247.91.491282007/06/24 14:06Clear, 0.7"78.547.91.47882007/06/25 13:13Clear, 0.5"137.547.91.451322007/06/26 13:09Heavy cirrus, 0.7"198.647.91.411322007/06/27 13:16Humid, 1.2"260.147.91.411322007/06/24 14:36Clear, 0.5"182.947.31.50562008/07/14 10:57Clear, 0.5"318.347.41.01722008/07/14 10:57Clear, 0.6"68.147.80.55562008/07/14 10:57Clear, 0.6"68.147.80.55562008/09/28 9:55Party cloudy, 0.5"288.548.01.32602009/06/24 14:25Clear, 0.6"224.346.61.59322009/06/24 14:25Clear, 0.6"224.346.61.56802008/09/28 9:55Party cloudy, 0.5"71.746.80.8864	2005/09/19 8:02	Clear, 0.5"	265.2	49.3	1.26	68
2006/06/26 13:39Cirrus, 0.4"157.948.51.371282006/07/26 11:01Clear, 0.9"188.048.60.53722006/08/30 9:14Clear, 0.7"166.048.80.62482006/10/28 5:59Thin cirrus, 0.6"170.349.01.53682007/06/21 13:15Clear, 0.8"252.647.91.531362007/06/21 3:10Thin cirrus, 0.6"313.747.91.511602007/06/24 14:06Clear, 0.7"78.547.91.491282007/06/25 13:13Clear, 0.5"137.547.91.451322007/06/26 13:09Heavy cirrus, 0.7"198.647.91.431722007/06/27 13:16Humid, 1.2"260.147.91.411322007/08/01 11:59Clear, 0.5"182.947.31.50562008/08/21 41:36Clear, 0.5"188.347.41.01722008/08/12 12:24Clear, 0.5"297.547.60.10442008/09/17:02Clear, 0.6"68.147.80.55562008/09/17:02Clear, 0.6"288.548.01.32602009/06/22 14:35Clear, 0.6"288.548.01.32602009/06/24 14:25Clear, 0.6"288.548.01.32602008/09/17:02Clear, 0.6"288.548.01.32602009/06/22 14:35Clear, 0.6"224.346.61.56802009/06/21 14:25<	2006/05/26 14:52	Clear, 0.4"	62.3	48.4	1.86	40
2006/07/26 11:01Clear, $0.9''$ 188.048.60.5372 $2006/08/30$ 9:14Clear, $0.7''$ 166.048.80.6248 $2006/10/28$ 5:59Thin cirrus, $0.6''$ 170.349.01.8568 $2007/06/21$ 13:15Clear, $0.8''$ 252.647.91.53136 $2007/06/22$ 13:10Thin cirrus, $0.6''$ 313.747.91.51160 $2007/06/23$ 13:18Clear, $0.6''$ 15.247.91.49128 $2007/06/24$ 14:06Clear, $0.7''$ 78.547.91.4788 $2007/06/26$ 13:09Heavy cirrus, $0.7''$ 198.647.91.43172 $2007/06/27$ 13:16Humid, $1.2''$ 260.147.91.41132 $2007/08/21$ 14:36Clear, $0.5''$ 182.947.31.5056 $2008/06/24$ 14:36Clear, $0.5''$ 182.947.31.5056 $2008/06/12$ 12:24Clear, $0.5''$ 297.547.60.1044 $2008/09/12$ 7:22Clear, $0.6''$ 288.548.01.3260 $2008/09/12$ 12:24Clear, $0.6''$ 288.548.01.3260 $2008/09/28$ 9:55Partly cloudy, $0.5''$ 288.548.01.3260 $2009/06/22$ 14:35Clear, $0.6''$ 224.346.61.5932 $2009/06/24$ 14:25Clear, $0.6''$ 71.746.80.8864	2006/06/26 13:39	Cirrus, 0.4"	157.9	48.5	1.37	128
2006/08/30 9:14Clear, $0.7''$ 166.048.80.6248 $2006/10/28$ 5:59Thin cirrus, $0.6''$ 170.349.01.8568 $2007/06/21$ 13:15Clear, $0.8''$ 252.647.91.53136 $2007/06/22$ 13:10Thin cirrus, $0.6''$ 313.747.91.51160 $2007/06/23$ 13:18Clear, $0.6''$ 15.247.91.49128 $2007/06/24$ 14:06Clear, $0.7''$ 78.547.91.4788 $2007/06/25$ 13:13Clear, $0.5''$ 137.547.91.43172 $2007/06/26$ 13:09Heavy cirrus, $0.7''$ 198.647.91.41132 $2007/06/27$ 13:16Humid, $1.2''$ 260.147.91.41132 $2007/08/01$ 11:59Clear, $0.5''$ 182.947.31.5056 $2008/06/24$ 14:36Clear, $0.5''$ 182.947.60.1044 $2008/08/12$ 12:24Clear, $0.5''$ 297.547.60.1044 $2008/09/17$ :02Clear, $0.6''$ 288.548.01.3260 $2008/09/17$ :02Clear, $0.6''$ 288.548.01.3260 $2009/06/24$ 14:35Clear, $0.6''$ 288.548.01.3260 $2008/09/17$ :02Clear, $0.6''$ 288.548.01.3260 $2009/06/24$ 14:25Clear, $0.6''$ 224.346.61.5932 $2009/06/21$ 14:25Clear, $0.6''$ 71.746.80.8864	2006/07/26 11:01	Clear, 0.9"	188.0	48.6	0.53	72
2006/10/28 5:59Thin cirrus, $0.6''$ $170.3$ $49.0$ $1.85$ $68$ $2007/06/21$ 13:15Clear, $0.8''$ $252.6$ $47.9$ $1.53$ $136$ $2007/06/22$ 13:10Thin cirrus, $0.6''$ $313.7$ $47.9$ $1.51$ $160$ $2007/06/23$ 13:18Clear, $0.6''$ $15.2$ $47.9$ $1.49$ $128$ $2007/06/24$ 14:06Clear, $0.7''$ $78.5$ $47.9$ $1.47$ $88$ $2007/06/25$ 13:13Clear, $0.5''$ $137.5$ $47.9$ $1.43$ $172$ $2007/06/26$ 13:09Heavy cirrus, $0.7''$ $198.6$ $47.9$ $1.43$ $172$ $2007/06/27$ 13:16Humid, $1.2''$ $260.1$ $47.9$ $1.41$ $132$ $2007/08/01$ 11:59Clear, $0.9''$ $239.8$ $48.1$ $0.41$ $16$ $2008/06/24$ 14:36Clear, $0.5''$ $182.9$ $47.3$ $1.50$ $56$ $2008/07/14$ 10:57Clear, $0.5''$ $318.3$ $47.4$ $1.01$ $72$ $2008/08/12$ 12:24Clear, $0.9''$ $297.5$ $47.6$ $0.10$ $44$ $2008/09/01$ 7.02Clear, $0.6''$ $68.1$ $47.8$ $0.55$ $56$ $2008/09/28$ 9:55Partly cloudy, $0.5''$ $288.5$ $48.0$ $1.32$ $60$ $2009/06/22$ 14:35Clear, $0.6''$ $224.3$ $46.6$ $1.56$ $80$ $2009/06/21$ 14:25Clear, $0.6''$ $71.7$ $46.8$ $0.88$ $64$	2006/08/30 9:14	Clear, 0.7"	166.0	48.8	0.62	48
2007/06/21 13:15Clear, 0.8"252.647.91.531362007/06/22 13:10Thin cirrus, 0.6"313.747.91.511602007/06/23 13:18Clear, 0.6"15.247.91.491282007/06/24 14:06Clear, 0.7"78.547.91.47882007/06/25 13:13Clear, 0.5"137.547.91.451322007/06/26 13:09Heavy cirrus, 0.7"198.647.91.431722007/06/27 13:16Humid, 1.2"260.147.91.411322007/08/01 11:59Clear, 0.9"239.848.10.41162008/06/24 14:36Clear, 0.5"182.947.31.50562008/06/24 14:36Clear, 0.9"297.547.60.10442008/08/12 12:24Clear, 0.9"297.547.60.10442008/09/17:02Clear, 0.6"68.147.80.55562008/09/28 9:55Partly cloudy, 0.5"288.548.01.32602009/06/22 14:35Clear, 0.6"224.346.61.59322009/06/21 14:25Clear, 0.6"224.346.61.56802009/06/21 12:07Clear, 0.6"71.746.80.8864	2006/10/28 5:59	Thin cirrus, 0.6"	170.3	49.0	1.85	68
2007/06/22 13:10Thin cirrus, $0.6''$ $313.7$ $47.9$ $1.51$ $160$ $2007/06/23$ 13:18Clear, $0.6''$ $15.2$ $47.9$ $1.49$ $128$ $2007/06/24$ 14:06Clear, $0.7''$ $78.5$ $47.9$ $1.47$ $88$ $2007/06/25$ 13:13Clear, $0.5''$ $137.5$ $47.9$ $1.45$ $132$ $2007/06/26$ 13:09Heavy cirrus, $0.7''$ $198.6$ $47.9$ $1.43$ $172$ $2007/06/27$ 13:16Humid, $1.2''$ $260.1$ $47.9$ $1.41$ $132$ $2007/08/01$ 11:59Clear, $0.9''$ $239.8$ $48.1$ $0.41$ $16$ $2008/06/24$ 14:36Clear, $0.5''$ $182.9$ $47.3$ $1.50$ $56$ $2008/06/24$ 14:36Clear, $0.5''$ $318.3$ $47.4$ $1.01$ $72$ $2008/06/24$ 14:36Clear, $0.9''$ $297.5$ $47.6$ $0.10$ $44$ $2008/08/12$ 12:24Clear, $0.6''$ $68.1$ $47.8$ $0.55$ $56$ $2008/09/01$ 7:02Clear, $0.6''$ $288.5$ $48.0$ $1.32$ $60$ $2009/06/22$ 14:35Clear, $0.7''$ $102.2$ $46.6$ $1.59$ $32$ $2009/06/24$ 14:25Clear, $0.6''$ $224.3$ $46.6$ $1.56$ $80$ $2009/07/21$ 12:07Clear, $0.5''$ $71.7$ $46.8$ $0.88$ $64$	2007/06/21 13:15	Clear, 0.8"	252.6	47.9	1.53	136
2007/06/23 13:18Clear, $0.6''$ 15.247.91.49128 $2007/06/24$ 14:06Clear, $0.7''$ 78.547.91.4788 $2007/06/25$ 13:13Clear, $0.5''$ 137.547.91.45132 $2007/06/26$ 13:09Heavy cirrus, $0.7''$ 198.647.91.43172 $2007/06/27$ 13:16Humid, $1.2''$ 260.147.91.41132 $2007/08/01$ 11:59Clear, $0.9''$ 239.848.10.4116 $2008/06/24$ 14:36Clear, $0.5''$ 182.947.31.5056 $2008/06/24$ 14:36Clear, $0.5''$ 318.347.41.0172 $2008/08/12$ 12:24Clear, $0.9''$ 297.547.60.1044 $2008/09/01$ 7:02Clear, $0.6'''$ 68.147.80.5556 $2008/09/28$ 9:55Partly cloudy, $0.5'''$ 288.548.01.3260 $2009/06/22$ 14:35Clear, $0.6'''$ 102.246.61.5932 $2009/06/24$ 14:25Clear, $0.6'''$ 224.346.61.5680 $2009/07/21$ 12:07Clear, $0.5'''$ 71.746.80.8864	2007/06/22 13:10	Thin cirrus, 0.6"	313.7	47.9	1.51	160
2007/06/24 $14:06$ $Clear, 0.7''$ $78.5$ $47.9$ $1.47$ $88$ $2007/06/25$ $13:13$ $Clear, 0.5''$ $137.5$ $47.9$ $1.45$ $132$ $2007/06/26$ $13:09$ Heavy cirrus, $0.7''$ $198.6$ $47.9$ $1.43$ $172$ $2007/06/27$ $13:16$ Humid, $1.2''$ $260.1$ $47.9$ $1.41$ $132$ $2007/08/01$ $11:59$ $Clear, 0.9''$ $239.8$ $48.1$ $0.41$ $16$ $2008/06/24$ $14:36$ $Clear, 0.5''$ $182.9$ $47.3$ $1.50$ $56$ $2008/07/14$ $10:57$ $Clear, 0.5''$ $318.3$ $47.4$ $1.01$ $72$ $2008/08/12$ $12:24$ $Clear, 0.9''$ $297.5$ $47.6$ $0.10$ $44$ $2008/09/01$ $7.02$ $Clear, 0.6''$ $68.1$ $47.8$ $0.55$ $56$ $2008/09/28$ $9:55$ Partly cloudy, $0.5''$ $288.5$ $48.0$ $1.32$ $60$ $2009/06/22$ $14:35$ $Clear, 0.6''$ $102.2$ $46.6$ $1.59$ $32$ $2009/06/24$ $14:25$ $Clear, 0.6''$ $224.3$ $46.6$ $1.56$ $80$ $2009/07/21$ $12:07$ $Clear, 0.5''$ $71.7$ $46.8$ $0.88$ $64$	2007/06/23 13:18	Clear, 0.6"	15.2	47.9	1.49	128
2007/06/2513:13Clear, $0.5''$ 137.547.91.45132 $2007/06/26$ 13:09Heavy cirrus, $0.7''$ 198.647.91.43172 $2007/06/27$ 13:16Humid, $1.2''$ 260.147.91.41132 $2007/08/01$ 11:59Clear, $0.9''$ 239.848.10.4116 $2008/06/24$ 14:36Clear, $0.5''$ 182.947.31.5056 $2008/07/14$ 10:57Clear, $0.5''$ 318.347.41.0172 $2008/08/12$ 12:24Clear, $0.9''$ 297.547.60.1044 $2008/09/01$ 7:02Clear, $0.6''$ 68.147.80.5556 $2008/09/128$ 9:55Partly cloudy, $0.5''$ 288.548.01.3260 $2009/06/22$ 14:35Clear, $0.6''$ 102.246.61.5932 $2009/06/24$ 14:25Clear, $0.6''$ 224.346.61.5680 $2009/07/21$ 12:07Clear, $0.5''$ 71.746.80.8864	2007/06/24 14:06	Clear, 0.7"	78.5	47.9	1.47	88
2007/06/26 13:09Heavy cirrus, $0.7''$ 198.647.91.43172 $2007/06/27$ 13:16Humid, $1.2''$ $260.1$ 47.91.41132 $2007/08/01$ 11:59Clear, $0.9''$ $239.8$ 48.10.4116 $2008/06/24$ 14:36Clear, $0.5''$ 182.947.31.5056 $2008/07/14$ 10:57Clear, $0.5''$ 318.347.41.0172 $2008/08/12$ 12:24Clear, $0.9''$ 297.547.60.1044 $2008/09/01$ 7:02Clear, $0.6''$ 68.147.80.5556 $2008/09/28$ 9:55Partly cloudy, $0.5''$ 288.548.01.3260 $2009/06/22$ 14:35Clear, $0.7''$ 102.246.61.5932 $2009/06/24$ 14:25Clear, $0.6''$ 71.746.80.8864	2007/06/25 13:13	Clear, 0.5"	137.5	47.9	1.45	132
2007/06/27 13:16Humid, 1.2"260.147.91.411322007/08/01 11:59Clear, 0.9"239.848.10.41162008/06/24 14:36Clear, 0.5"182.947.31.50562008/07/14 10:57Clear, 0.5"318.347.41.01722008/08/12 12:24Clear, 0.9"297.547.60.10442008/09/01 7:02Clear, 0.6"68.147.80.55562008/09/28 9:55Partly cloudy, 0.5"288.548.01.32602009/06/22 14:35Clear, 0.7"102.246.61.59322009/06/24 14:25Clear, 0.6"224.346.61.56802009/07/21 12:07Clear, 0.5"71.746.80.8864	2007/06/26 13:09	Heavy cirrus, 0.7"	198.6	47.9	1.43	172
2007/08/01 11:59Clear, 0.9"239.848.10.41162008/06/24 14:36Clear, 0.5"182.947.31.50562008/07/14 10:57Clear, 0.5"318.347.41.01722008/08/12 12:24Clear, 0.9"297.547.60.10442008/09/01 7:02Clear, 0.6"68.147.80.55562008/09/28 9:55Partly cloudy, 0.5"288.548.01.32602009/06/22 14:35Clear, 0.6"102.246.61.59322009/06/24 14:25Clear, 0.6"224.346.61.56802009/07/21 12:07Clear, 0.5"71.746.80.8864	2007/06/27 13:16	Humid, 1.2"	260.1	47.9	1.41	132
2008/06/24 14:36         Clear, 0.5"         182.9         47.3         1.50         56           2008/07/14 10:57         Clear, 0.5"         318.3         47.4         1.01         72           2008/08/12 12:24         Clear, 0.9"         297.5         47.6         0.10         44           2008/09/01 7:02         Clear, 0.6"         68.1         47.8         0.55         56           2008/09/28 9:55         Partly cloudy, 0.5"         288.5         48.0         1.32         60           2009/06/22 14:35         Clear, 0.6"         102.2         46.6         1.59         32           2009/06/24 14:25         Clear, 0.6"         224.3         46.6         1.56         80           2009/07/21 12:07         Clear, 0.5"         71.7         46.8         0.88         64	2007/08/01 11:59	Clear, 0.9"	239.8	48.1	0.41	16
2008/07/14 10:57         Clear, 0.5"         318.3         47.4         1.01         72           2008/08/12 12:24         Clear, 0.9"         297.5         47.6         0.10         44           2008/09/01 7:02         Clear, 0.6"         68.1         47.8         0.55         56           2008/09/28 9:55         Partly cloudy, 0.5"         288.5         48.0         1.32         60           2009/06/22 14:35         Clear, 0.6"         102.2         46.6         1.59         32           2009/06/24 14:25         Clear, 0.6"         224.3         46.6         1.56         80           2009/07/21 12:07         Clear, 0.5"         71.7         46.8         0.88         64	2008/06/24 14:36	Clear, 0.5"	182.9	47.3	1.50	56
2008/08/12 12:24         Clear, 0.9"         297.5         47.6         0.10         44           2008/09/01 7:02         Clear, 0.6"         68.1         47.8         0.55         56           2008/09/28 9:55         Partly cloudy, 0.5"         288.5         48.0         1.32         60           2009/06/22 14:35         Clear, 0.7"         102.2         46.6         1.59         32           2009/06/24 14:25         Clear, 0.6"         224.3         46.6         1.56         80           2009/07/21 12:07         Clear, 0.5"         71.7         46.8         0.88         64	2008/07/14 10:57	Clear, 0.5"	318.3	47.4	1.01	72
2008/09/01 7:02         Clear, 0.6"         68.1         47.8         0.55         56           2008/09/28 9:55         Partly cloudy, 0.5"         288.5         48.0         1.32         60           2009/06/22 14:35         Clear, 0.7"         102.2         46.6         1.59         32           2009/06/24 14:25         Clear, 0.6"         224.3         46.6         1.56         80           2009/07/21 12:07         Clear, 0.5"         71.7         46.8         0.88         64	2008/08/12 12:24	Clear, 0.9"	297.5	47.6	0.10	44
2008/09/28 9:55         Partly cloudy, 0.5"         288.5         48.0         1.32         60           2009/06/22 14:35         Clear, 0.7"         102.2         46.6         1.59         32           2009/06/24 14:25         Clear, 0.6"         224.3         46.6         1.56         80           2009/07/21 12:07         Clear, 0.5"         71.7         46.8         0.88         64	2008/09/01 7:02	Clear, 0.6"	68.1	47.8	0.55	56
2009/06/22 14:35         Clear, 0.7"         102.2         46.6         1.59         32           2009/06/24 14:25         Clear, 0.6"         224.3         46.6         1.56         80           2009/07/21 12:07         Clear, 0.5"         71.7         46.8         0.88         64	2008/09/28 9:55	Partly cloudy, 0.5"	288.5	48.0	1.32	60
2009/06/24 14:25         Clear, 0.6"         224.3         46.6         1.56         80           2009/07/21 12:07         Clear, 0.5"         71.7         46.8         0.88         64	2009/06/22 14:35	Clear, 0.7"	102.2	46.6	1.59	32
2009/07/21 12:07 Clear. 0.5" 71.7 46.8 0.88 64	2009/06/24 14:25	Clear, 0.6"	224.3	46.6	1.56	80
	2009/07/21 12:07	Clear, 0.5"	71.7	46.8	0.88	64

persed mode of the SpeX spectrograph (Rayner et al., 1998; Rayner et al., 2003), which covers wavelengths from 0.8 to 2.4  $\mu$ m. From fall 2002 onward, most of the observations were done in brief 2 or 3-hour time allocations, taking advantage of the superior scheduling flexibility offered by the IRTF in conjunction with remote observing from the U.S. mainland (e.g., Bus et al., 2002).

Details of data acquisition and reduction strategies have been described previously (Paper 1; also Grundy et al., 2006). To remove telluric absorptions, instrumental effects, and the solar spectrum, all Triton observations were interspersed between observations of solar analog stars. During 2000–2001, we only used well known solar analogs 16 Cyg B, BS 5968, BS 6060, and SA 112-1333. Unfor-

tunately, Neptune and Triton were far from these stars on the sky plane, resulting in slews large enough to give troublesome instrumental flexure between Triton and star observations. To remedy this, in 2002, we began using the much more nearby solar analog star HD 202282 (spectral class G3V, according to Houk and Smith-Moore, 1988) after verifying with SpeX observations that its spectrum matched those of the better known analogs. Neptune's orbital motion forced us to transition to a new nearby solar analog in 2007/2008. We selected BS 8283 for this purpose. Two nights of SpeX observations of both BS 8283 and HD 202282 during 2007 showed that the two stars were spectrally similar at our wavelength range and spectral resolution, despite BS 8283 being an unresolved G0V+G1IV binary system (Neckel, 1986; Pourbaix et al., 2004).

Wavelength calibration was derived from observations of SpeX's internal integrating sphere, illuminated by a low pressure argon arc lamp. This calibration was checked against telluric sky emission lines extracted from the Triton frames themselves, which sometimes called for a slight shift relative to the argon spectra, due to flexure within SpeX. Profiles of both arc and sky lines during 2002-2009 (when we used SpeX's 0.3 arcsec slit, in order to maintain as consistent as possible spectral resolution) resembled Gaussians having full width at half maximum (FWHM) of ~2.5 pixels, implying spectral resolution ( $\lambda$ /*FWHM*) between 1600 and 1700. Prior to 2002 we used a wider slit (0.5 arcsec) to admit more light, resulting in measured spectral resolutions in the 1300–1400 range. Wavelength uncertainty is less than a pixel in regions having abundant arc and night sky lines. The spectra were not photometrically calibrated, due to variable slit losses from distinct tracking and guiding correction timescales between bright calibration stars and much fainter Triton, along with variable focus and seeing conditions. Also, many of our spectra were collected on non-photometric nights. An average spectrum appears in Fig. 1. Examples of individual nightly spectra are shown in Fig. 2 and more can be found in Paper 1.

#### 3. Longitudinal distributions of ice species

Based on eight nights of data obtained in 2002, Paper 1 reported diverse patterns of longitudinal variability for Triton's different ice species. The strongest variation, almost a factor of two, was seen in the 2.15 µm N<sub>2</sub> ice absorption band, implying a very uneven regional distribution of that species, heavily biased toward low latitudes and the Neptune-facing hemisphere. Regional variations in N<sub>2</sub> ice texture could also explain the observed variation, by causing longer mean optical path lengths in those regions. The CH<sub>4</sub> ice bands were seen to vary less, and to exhibit maximum absorption at longitudes shifted toward the trailing hemisphere. This observation was puzzling in light of the fact that Triton's CH<sub>4</sub> ice is dissolved in N<sub>2</sub> ice (Cruikshank et al., 1993; Quirico and Schmitt, 1997a; Quirico et al., 1999). Triton's water ice absorption was reported to be slightly stronger on the leading hemisphere, while little if any longitudinal variation was seen in the CO<sub>2</sub> ice bands, implying a globally homogeneous or high latitude distribution of that species. Now, with our much larger set of spectra from 53 separate nights,



**Fig. 1.** Average IRTF/SpeX spectrum of Triton. Vibrational absorptions by various ice species are labeled. These are generally overtones and combinations of longer wavelength fundamental modes. Gaps around 1.4 and 1.9  $\mu$ m coincide with higher opacity in the terrestrial atmosphere. The band labeled '?' could be C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> or <sup>13</sup>CO (Cruikshank et al., 2006).



**Fig. 2.** Example spectra from individual nights of observations, labeled according to their UT dates (YYMMDD; see Table 1 for detailed circumstances). The spectra were all normalized, then offset vertically by values shown in parentheses. The 060726 and 070623 spectra provide an interesting comparison, having been obtained at longitudes close to minimum and maximum  $N_2$  ice absorption, respectively. The 080624 and 090622 spectra show that useful data quality can be achieved, even with less than an hour of integration on Triton.

we can test the validity of the longitudinal patterns reported earlier as well as searching for additional, more subtle trends not detected in the previous, sparser data set.

The large amplitude longitudinal variation in Triton's N<sub>2</sub> ice reported in Paper 1 is confirmed by the new data, as shown in Fig. 3. A sinusoid fitted to the integrated absorption data has its maximum at  $31 \pm 3^{\circ}$  East sub-Earth longitude and a peak-to-peak amplitude of  $75 \pm 4$  percent (comparable to, but smaller than the  $96 \pm 16$  percent variation reported in Paper 1). We measure integrated absorption by normalizing each spectrum to a line fitted to continuum wavelengths on either side of the absorption band  $(2.093-2.117 \,\mu\text{m}$  and  $2.175-1.185 \,\mu\text{m}$ , in the case of this N<sub>2</sub> ice band), then integrating one minus the normalized spectrum over the band interval (2.117-2.175 µm, for this band). We also note that the width and shape of Triton's N<sub>2</sub> band are consistent with the hexagonal  $\beta$  phase of N<sub>2</sub> ice which is stable above 35.6 K, and not the lower temperature, cubic,  $\alpha$  phase (Scott, 1976). Additionally, the presence of the shoulder at about 2.16 µm indicates that the temperature of the N<sub>2</sub> ice is below about 41 K (see Paper 1; also Grundy et al., 1993; Tryka et al., 1993).

Triton's methane ice produces numerous absorption bands, which combine to form a series of band complexes diminishing in strength toward shorter wavelengths (see Fig. 1). Paper 1 reported longitudinal variations for three CH<sub>4</sub> bands, at 0.89, 1.65, and 1.73  $\mu$ m, showing maximum absorption on the trailing hemisphere. The new data confirm the earlier results for those three bands (see Fig. 4) and also show similar behavior for the 1.15, 1.33, and 2.2  $\mu$ m CH<sub>4</sub> ice bands (which are omitted from Fig. 4 to



**Fig. 3.** Integrated area of the 2.15  $\mu$ m N<sub>2</sub> ice absorption band as a function of sub-Earth longitude on Triton, showing a large periodic variation as Triton rotates. A logarithmic scale is used to facilitate comparison with similar plots to be shown for other ice species. Each point represents observations from a single night, with points duplicated over an additional ±90° to better show the cyclic pattern. The dotted curve is a sinusoid fitted to the data.



**Fig. 4.** Integrated areas of three CH<sub>4</sub> ice absorption bands as a function of sub-Earth longitude on Triton, showing periodic variations as Triton rotates. Other features are as described in Fig. 3.

reduce clutter). Wavelengths used in computing integrated absorptions throughout this paper are listed in Table 2. From sinusoids fitted to the integrated absorptions, maximum absorption for the six CH<sub>4</sub> bands are all near 300° East longitude, corresponding to the Neptune-facing part of the trailing hemisphere. Parameters of these fits are listed in Table 3. Since Triton's CH<sub>4</sub> is mostly dissolved in N<sub>2</sub> ice (Cruikshank et al., 1993; Quirico and Schmitt, 1997a; Quirico et al., 1999), one might expect the two ices to share the same longitudinal distribution. Clearly, the new data confirm that not to be the case. Triton's CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> ices evidently do not simply co-occur with a constant mixing ratio. Their mixing ratio must be a function of longitude. Additional clues to the nature of this regionally variable dilution can also be gleaned from the wavelengths of the CH<sub>4</sub> absorptions, to be described later.

Triton's weaker, shorter-wavelength CH<sub>4</sub> bands at 0.89, 1.15, and 1.33  $\mu$ m exhibit stronger fractional variation with longitude (36 ± 6%, 33 ± 7%, and 28 ± 4% peak-to-peak, respectively) than

#### Table 2

Wavelengths (µm) used for computing integrated areas of absorption bands.

Absorption band	Continuum	Band	Continuum
N <sub>2</sub> 2.15 μm	2.093-2.117	2.117-2.175	2.175-2.185
CH <sub>4</sub> 0.89 μm	0.860-0.878	0.878-0.909	0.909-0.930
CH <sub>4</sub> 1.15 μm	1.070-1.100	1.100-1.210	1.210-1.230
CH <sub>4</sub> 1.33 μm	1.240-1.290	1.290-1.342	1.342-1.343
CH <sub>4</sub> 1.65 μm	1.605-1.620	1.620-1.685	1.685-1.699
CH <sub>4</sub> 1.73 μm	1.686-1.698	1.698-1.735	1.735-1.745
CH <sub>4</sub> 2.20 μm	2.170-2.180	2.180-2.225	2.225-2.235
CO <sub>2</sub> 1.965 μm	1.957–1.962	1.962–1.969	1.969–1.974
CO <sub>2</sub> 2.01 μm	2.002–2.008	2.008–2.015	2.015–2.020
CO <sub>2</sub> 2.07 μm	2.062–2.068	2.068–2.072	2.072–2.078
CO 2.35 μm	2.336–2.345	2.345–2.358	2.358–2.367

 Table 3
 Sinusoidal fits to longitudinal variations of Triton's absorption bands.

Absorption band	Peak-to-peak amplitude (%)	East longitude of maximum (°)
N <sub>2</sub> 2.15 μm	75 ± 4	31±3
CO 2.35 µm	72 ± 6	$56 \pm 4$
CH <sub>4</sub> 0.89 μm	36 ± 6	282 ± 9
CH <sub>4</sub> 1.15 μm	33 ± 7	305 ± 12
CH <sub>4</sub> 1.33 μm	28 ± 4	288 ± 9
CH <sub>4</sub> 1.65 μm	15 ± 1	317 ± 3
CH4 1.73 μm	20 ± 2	322 ± 5
CH <sub>4</sub> 2.20 μm	19 ± 2	299 ± 7
H <sub>2</sub> O ratio	4 ± 2	169 ± 26
CO <sub>2</sub> triplet	2 ± 2	146 ± 22

the stronger, longer-wavelength bands at 1.65, 1.73, and 2.2  $\mu$ m ( $15 \pm 1\%$ ,  $20 \pm 2\%$ , and  $19 \pm 2\%$ , respectively), as shown in Fig. 5. In general, observations of weaker absorption bands sample more deeply within a surface (e.g., Grundy and Fink, 1996; Tegler et al., 2008; Abernathy et al., 2009; Merlin et al., 2009). Since photons at weakly absorbing wavelengths are able to pass through more material before being absorbed, these photons have a better chance of reaching greater depths, and in turn, a better chance of escaping from those depths. Only photons that escape can be detected by remote observers. A trend of greater longitudinal variation for shallower bands suggests that regions with thicker



**Fig. 5.** Fractional longitudinal variation of six  $CH_4$  ice absorption bands (labeled according to their wavelengths) as a function of the minimum albedo in the band center. Weaker bands, which sample deeper within the surface of Triton, show greater longitudinal variation. The 1.65  $\mu$ m  $CH_4$  band coincides with a water ice band, reducing its apparent variability (as will be discussed shortly, Triton's  $H_2O$  absorption shows little longitudinal variation).

deposits and/or higher concentrations of CH<sub>4</sub> ice capable of producing appreciable absorption in the weaker bands are more heterogeneously distributed than the relatively shallow and/or highly diluted layer of CH<sub>4</sub>-containing ice responsible for the stronger CH<sub>4</sub> absorptions. Perhaps most of the observed longitudinal variation in Triton's CH<sub>4</sub> bands comes from relatively isolated, low-latitude regions of deep or CH<sub>4</sub>-rich ice. These regions would need to be preferentially located on the trailing hemisphere, unlike the N<sub>2</sub> ice which shows more absorption on the leading part of the sub-Neptune hemisphere.

Our data confirm that Triton's CH<sub>4</sub> bands are blue-shifted relative to the wavelengths of pure CH<sub>4</sub> ice absorption bands (Grundy et al., 2002a), indicating that Triton's CH<sub>4</sub> is diluted in N<sub>2</sub> ice (e.g., Quirico and Schmitt, 1997a; Quirico et al., 1999). Average blue shifts for three CH<sub>4</sub> absorption bands relative to the shifts reported for highly diluted CH<sub>4</sub> by Quirico and Schmitt (1997a) are shown as a function of sub-observer longitude in Fig. 6. as measured by autocorrelation. In this plot, a value of zero would correspond to pure CH<sub>4</sub> ice while a value of one indicates ice in which each CH<sub>4</sub> molecule has exclusively N<sub>2</sub> molecules for neighbors. Intermediate dilutions lie between zero and one, but a value of 0.9 should not be interpreted as implying a 90% N<sub>2</sub> and 10% CH<sub>4</sub> composition, since the dependence of the shift on concentration is not yet well understood (Brunetto et al., 2008; Cornelison et al., 2008). CH<sub>4</sub> is not even soluble in N<sub>2</sub> ice at 10% concentration, so at least two distinct phases would have to be present at that composition (Prokhvatilov and Yantsevich, 1983; Lunine and Stevenson, 1985). Indeed, the presence of small areas of CH<sub>4</sub>-dominated ice is not ruled out by these shifts, with important implications for Triton's atmospheric composition, as will be discussed later. A sinusoidal fit to these measurements shows shifts ranging from 88% to 94% of that of N<sub>2</sub>-isolated CH<sub>4</sub>. The peak-to-peak variation of this sinusoid is  $0.068 \pm 0.011$  in these units, a  $\sim 6 - \sigma$  detection of longitudinal variation. The least diluted CH<sub>4</sub> coincides with trailing-hemisphere longitudes where CH<sub>4</sub> absorption bands are strongest, implying that higher CH<sub>4</sub> concentration in N<sub>2</sub> is at least partially responsible for enhancing CH<sub>4</sub> absorption at those longitudes.

Paper 1 reported no measurable longitudinal variation of Triton's  $CO_2$  absorption bands around 2 µm. The new data confirm that result, as shown in Fig. 7. To produce such remarkably little variation as Triton rotates, the  $CO_2$  ice must be very uniformly distributed and/or be confined to high southern latitudes.

The longitudinal variation of Triton's H<sub>2</sub>O ice is trickier to quantify. Although the distinctive shapes of crystalline H<sub>2</sub>O ice bands at 1.5 and 2 µm are obvious in the spectra (see Fig. 1 and discussion in Paper 1), these absorption complexes are so broad that they straddle gaps in wavelength coverage as well as overlapping other absorption bands, preventing numerical integration of their areas. Still, it is possible to construct albedo ratios that are sensitive to H<sub>2</sub>O ice absorption. In Paper 1 we used a ratio between the center of the 1.5 µm H<sub>2</sub>O ice absorption complex and continuum wavelengths on either side. Unfortunately, the longer wavelength continuum region used in that paper  $(1.683-1.710 \,\mu\text{m})$  is contaminated by CH<sub>4</sub> absorption, leading to a longitudinal modulation of the continuum, and thus the apparent modulation in H<sub>2</sub>O ice absorption reported in Paper 1. To avoid this source of possible contamination in the present analysis, we compute one minus the ratio between the mean albedo from 1.505 to 1.57 um (the core of the 1.5  $\mu$ m H<sub>2</sub>O absorption) and the mean albedo from 1.22 to  $1.27 \mu m$  (the continuum). This quantity, shown in Fig. 8, measures the fractional band depth of the 1.5  $\mu$ m H<sub>2</sub>O ice band complex, and thus the ice abundance (assuming no regional variation in ice texture). Contrary to the conclusions of Paper 1, this analysis reveals no significant longitudinal variation in H<sub>2</sub>O ice absorption, behavior very similar to that exhibited by the CO<sub>2</sub> ice absorptions.

Finally, we turn to carbon monoxide ice. CO has two narrow absorption bands in our wavelength region (Legay and Legay-Sommaire, 1982; Quirico and Schmitt, 1997b). The 0-3 overtone at 1.578 µm coincides with a narrow CO<sub>2</sub> band and with the corner of the square-bottomed crystalline H<sub>2</sub>O ice band, making it effectively unquantifiable in our data. The stronger, 0-2 overtone at 2.352 µm falls between two particularly deep CH<sub>4</sub> absorption bands (see Fig. 1). We can compute an integrated area for this band using  $2.345-2.358 \,\mu\text{m}$  for the band and  $2.336-2.345 \,\mu\text{m}$  and 2.358–2.367 µm for the continuum, as shown in Fig. 9. The resulting area is likely to be somewhat contaminated by CH<sub>4</sub> absorption, and is correspondingly sensitive to the choice of wavelength limits, but as the measured longitudinal variation is much stronger than seen for  $CH_4$  absorptions (especially the stronger  $CH_4$  bands), we believe this pattern is mostly due to actual variation in CO absorption. A sinusoidal fit gives a peak-to-peak variation of  $72 \pm 6\%$  with the maximum on the leading side of the sub-Neptune hemisphere. This distribution looks very similar to what was seen for N<sub>2</sub>



**Fig. 6.** Average blue shift of Triton's 1.33, 1.65, and 1.73  $\mu$ m CH<sub>4</sub> ice absorption bands, relative to the shifts reported by Quirico and Schmitt (1997a) for CH<sub>4</sub> molecules isolated in N<sub>2</sub> ice. The dashed line indicates the blue shift for CH<sub>4</sub> molecules isolated in N<sub>2</sub> ice.



**Fig. 7.** Total integrated area of three narrow CO<sub>2</sub> ice absorption bands near 2  $\mu$ m as a function of sub-Earth longitude on Triton, showing no significant evidence of longitudinal variability (2  $\pm$  2% peak-to-peak from the sinusoidal fit shown as a dotted curve).



**Fig. 8.** Fractional band depth of H<sub>2</sub>O ice as a function of sub-Earth longitude on Triton, showing no significant longitudinal variation in H<sub>2</sub>O ice absorption ( $4 \pm 2\%$  peak-to-peak from the sinusoidal fit shown as a dotted curve).



Fig. 9. Integrated area of the narrow CO ice absorption band at  $2.352 \,\mu\text{m}$  as a function of sub-Earth longitude on Triton, showing a very similar pattern to that of  $N_2$  ice.

absorption (see Fig. 3), suggesting that, unlike CH<sub>4</sub> ice, CO ice is globally accompanied by N<sub>2</sub> ice. CO and N<sub>2</sub> ices have very similar vapor pressures (Brown and Ziegler, 1980) and they are miscible in one another in all proportions (Scott, 1976), so it is reasonable to expect N<sub>2</sub> and CO to co-occur on Triton's surface, in contrast with CH<sub>4</sub> ice, which is much less volatile. However, we note that the peaks of the sinusoidal fits to the N<sub>2</sub> and CO absorption variations are shifted from one another, by amounts that seem to be statistically significant (31 ± 3 and 56 ± 4 degrees longitude for N<sub>2</sub> and CO, respectively). That the CO absorption should be shifted slightly relative to the N<sub>2</sub> absorption is puzzling in light of their expected co-occurrence, but it could simply be due to contamination of our CO absorption measurement by the adjacent strong CH<sub>4</sub> bands.

To summarize the observed longitudinal variations, we compare in Fig. 10 the different patterns of variation seen for absorption bands of the five ice species as Triton rotates about its axis. The  $N_2$  and CO absorptions show the greatest magnitude of variation, and their variations appear quite similar to one another, implying similar geographic distributions. The absorption variations for six different methane bands are similar to one another, so this plot includes two representative examples: the strongly absorbing 1.73  $\mu$ m band and the weakly absorbing 0.89  $\mu$ m band. Both show more absorption on Triton's trailing hemisphere. H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> ice absorptions show remarkably little variation as Triton rotates, similar to the very limited variation in visible wavelength reflectance as measured by a clear filter lightcurve from disk-integrated Voyager approach images obtained at a  $-50^{\circ}$  sub-observer latitude (Smith et al., 1989; Hillier et al., 1991).

## 4. Search for secular change

Triton has been experiencing a major southern summer in recent decades, with the sub-solar latitude reaching a summer solstice maximum of 50° South latitude during the years 2000– 2001. Since then, the sub-solar latitude has begun slowly moving back toward the equator (see Table 2, noting that sub-Earth and sub-solar points differ by the phase angle). Continuous sunlight falling on N<sub>2</sub> and CO ices at high southern latitudes should cause the ice to sublimate at a rate of the order of centimeters per Earth year (e.g., Trafton et al., 1998). This gradual removal of volatile ice



**Fig. 10.** Triton's observed longitudinal spectral variations shown on a consistent logarithmic scale of relative variation along with the Voyager clear filter approach lightcurve from Smith et al. (1989, bottom).

could result in seasonal changes in the observed absorption bands by exposing underlaying strata with distinct compositions, or by driving evolution of the composition or texture of the ice (Eluszkiewicz, 1991; Grundy and Stansberry, 2000). However, any such changes occurring during the past decade of our observations must be subtle, since the patterns of longitudinal variability for all five ice species exhibit no obvious changes over that interval.

For a more sensitive search for evidence of ice recession, we split our 53 nights of data into two groups, 28 nights collected during 2000-2004 and 25 collected during 2005-2009. Separate sinusoidal fits to the N<sub>2</sub> band measurements for these two groups are shown in Fig. 11. The most notable difference is that the later data show less scatter than the earlier data, having benefited from more consistent and polished acquisition techniques, as well as a number of measures taken by IRTF staff over the years to improve image quality. The earlier data generally fall above the dashed curve fitted to the entire data set, especially around the higher parts of that curve near zero longitude (Triton's Neptune-facing hemisphere). The maxima of the two sinusoidal fits to the data subsets are  $4.39\pm0.07$  nm and  $4.21\pm0.06$  nm for 2000–2004 and 2005– 2009, respectively, amounting to a tentative detection of the loss of N<sub>2</sub> ice from Triton's summer hemisphere due to seasonal volatile transport. However, this evidence for seasonal N<sub>2</sub> ice sublimation clearly needs testing with additional observations. Its verification will be complicated by the change of Triton's sub-solar latitude, which will be moving toward the equator at an accelerating pace over the coming years. That changing geometry will add an additional source of secular spectral changes likely to be difficult to disentangle from changes caused by volatile transport.

We have seen no convincing evidence for transient changes in our spectra, as have been reported at visible wavelengths (Buratti et al., 1999; Hicks and Buratti, 2004). Outlier points do appear in our plots, but they are not more abundant than would be expected from our error statistics. Additionally, the outliers tend to correspond to nights with poor sky conditions or when something went



**Fig. 11.** Integrated area of the 2.15  $\mu$ m N<sub>2</sub> ice absorption band split into two 5-year time periods. In both panels, the solid curve is a sinusoidal fit to just the data taken during that interval, while the dashed curve is a fit to the full 10-year data set (also shown in Figs. 3 and 10).

wrong (such as observer error, or failures of equipment, software, power, or the network), preventing us from collecting as much Triton or solar analog data as we had intended.

## 5. Discussion

Here we explore what the observed longitudinal patterns and secular evolution of the ice absorptions can tell us about the spatial distributions and temporal behaviors of Triton's surface ices. We hope to combine our spectral constraints with geological and photometric data from the Voyager encounter to begin to identify the compositions of enigmatic landforms seen in Voyager images, and thus advance our understanding of Triton's seasonal cycles and surface–atmosphere interaction.

We begin with the most volatile ices N<sub>2</sub> and CO, which are expected to migrate around Triton's surface on seasonal timescales. Previous investigators computed suites of volatile transport models for various values of unknown parameters such as the thermal inertia, emissivity, and inventory of N<sub>2</sub> ice, and compared these with Voyager albedo and color maps as well as the atmospheric pressure. This work was hampered by the fact that Voyager's instruments could not distinguish between Triton's ice species, so it was hard to say whether or not model distributions of N<sub>2</sub> ice were consistent with the imagery. Without spectroscopic evidence to the contrary, the large southern polar cap has often been interpreted as consisting mainly of N<sub>2</sub> ice (e.g., Croft et al., 1995) despite the preference among volatile transport models for N<sub>2</sub> ice being restricted to lower latitudes receiving less insolation (e.g., Stansberry, 1989; Spencer, 1990; Hansen and Paige, 1992; Spencer and Moore, 1992; Stansberry et al., 1992; Yelle, 1992; Trafton et al., 1998). We take a different approach, combining our observations of spectral variations as Triton rotates with other constraints in an attempt to learn where those ices are presently located. Although the observed spectral variations could conceivably be caused by a wide variety of mechanisms, such as longitudinal variations in texture or stratigraphy, the simplest hypothesis is that they reflect the projected area of geological units composed of the different ices.

In Paper 1, we considered the six McEwen (1990) color and albedo units as possible locations of Triton's N<sub>2</sub> ice. We found that no combination of these units had the same longitudinal variation as the N<sub>2</sub> band depth. However, if N<sub>2</sub> ice were confined to portions of those units North of  $\sim 31^{\circ}$  South latitude, combinations could be found which produced patterns of N<sub>2</sub> variation like those observed. To be more quantitative, for each combination of the McEwen units (plus the region unmapped by Voyager, which we call Unit 0) we found the best-fitting cut-off latitude and N<sub>2</sub> band integrated area in the N<sub>2</sub> absorption-producing region. Combinations having low  $\chi^2_{\nu}$  values are listed in Table 4. Units 5 and 2 figure in all of the low  $\chi^2_{\nu}$  lists, and unit 3 is included in most of them. Unit 5 is the bright South polar cap, unit 2 is darker streaks superposed on the cap, and unit 3 is a bright, reddish unit on the polar cap and extending North from the edge of the cap around 300° East longitude.

In addition to color and albedo, Triton's surface has been divided into distinct units based on geomorphology and on photometric properties. Lee et al. (1992) identified an "Anomalous-Scattering Region" (ASR) which they interpreted as a "transparent, optically thin, and seasonally controlled veneer of well-annealed solid N<sub>2</sub>." Plotting the fraction of Triton's visible disk occupied by this unit as a function of sub-observer longitude in Fig. 12, we see that this region is most visible around sub-solar longitude 270°, corresponding to Triton's trailing hemisphere, not 30°, where N<sub>2</sub> ice absorption is greatest. Adding in a possible extension of the ASR unit (PE) around 300° East longitude and/or the "Frost Band

Table 4	
$N_{\rm 2}$ distributions tied t	o McEwen (1990) color units.

Units having N <sub>2</sub> ice	Latitude cut off (°)	Band area (µm)	Goodness of fit $\chi^2_{\nu}$
0,2,5	-31	0.017	1.13
2,5	-34	0.015	1.30
2,3,5,6	-24	0.016	1.60
0,2,3,5,6	-22	0.017	2.05
0,2,3,5	-31	0.016	2.26
2,3,4,5,6	-13	0.021	2.44
2,3,5	-35	0.014	2.71

Unit 0 represents regions not assigned a unit number by McEwen (1990).



**Fig. 12.** Longitudinal variability that would result from our viewing geometry for the Lee et al. (1992) units. Solid curves for these units are labeled as ASR ("Anomalous Scattering Region"), PE ("Possible Extension" of ASR), and FBR ("Frost Band Region"). Broken curves represent combinations of these units.

Region" (FBR) which forms a collar around the polar cap in the Lee et al. map, the maximum projected area remains on the trailing hemisphere, leading us to conclude that the boundaries of these units are unlikely to coincide with the boundaries of Triton's  $N_2$  and CO absorbing province. Contrary to the behaviors of  $N_2$  and CO, maximum methane absorption is on the trailing hemisphere, suggesting that a higher concentration of  $CH_4$ , not  $N_2$ , could be what makes these regions distinct.

Voyager images of Triton revealed a wealth of landforms, including "cantaloupe terrain", linear ridges, grabens, caldera-like depressions with smooth floors, dark wind streaks, enigmatic dark areas ("guttae") surrounded by bright aureoles, and plains with textures ranging from smooth to hummocky or rugged (Smith et al., 1989; Croft et al., 1995). Unfortunately, geological units have only been mapped systematically on Triton's Neptune-facing hemisphere (but see Stryk and Stooke (2009) for recent progress toward mapping the anti-Neptune hemisphere). The regional nature of the geological maps precludes the same sort of comparison of observed spectral variability with longitudinal visibility of geological units as could be done for the McEwen and Lee et al. units.

We also tried an alternative approach, based on a simple thermal balance model. From the bolometric hemispherical albedo map of Triton (i.e., the spatially resolved analog of the bolometric bond albedo; Stansberry et al., 1992) we calculated a map of diurnal average solar energy absorption as Triton rotates. We reasoned that areas of Triton's surface above some average energy absorption threshold should experience net sublimation of nitrogen ice, the loss of which is balanced by net condensation in regions receiving less energy. We additionally assumed an equilibrium is rapidly reached such that regions receiving more than the threshold energy are denuded of N<sub>2</sub> ice while regions receiving less are covered with N<sub>2</sub>. Alternatively, we could assume that N<sub>2</sub> ice remains present in regions experiencing net sublimation but that its texture evolves in such a way that little or no 2.15  $\mu$ m absorption is seen, whereas condensing regions rapidly acquire a texture that produces an observable absorption band. Regardless, we make the energy threshold and the integrated area of the 2.15  $\mu$ m N<sub>2</sub> absorption band in nitrogen-absorbing areas free parameters and find the values giving the best fit to the observations. The goodness of fit for this model is  $\chi^2_{\nu} = 1.33$ , for a diurnal average energy threshold of 79.7erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and a 2.15  $\mu$ m N<sub>2</sub> band integrated area of 0.012 um.

This thermal balance model and the truncated McEwen units models both give reasonable matches to our N<sub>2</sub> observations, albeit with somewhat different spatial distributions, as shown in Fig. 13. Neither is formally excluded by the data and one could also imagine other models giving comparably good fits. We are forced to conclude that the longitudinal variability plus a model such as one of these is not going to give us a unique map of where Triton's N<sub>2</sub> (and by extension CO) absorption occurs. However, both approaches call for high Southern latitudes not to contribute to the observed N<sub>2</sub> absorption band, despite being consistently mapped as part of the polar cap (Smith et al., 1989; Thompson and Sagan, 1990; Croft et al., 1995). Both models also place N<sub>2</sub> ice on a broad swath of the sub-Neptune hemisphere, extending from -30° North toward the equator, and from roughly 280° to 60° East longitude. Geologically, this zone includes some of the northernmost portions of Triton's polar cap, as well as regions of cantaloupe terrain and hummocky plain which both share a bluish coloration interpreted as resulting from a shallow veneer of freshly-deposited volatile ice (Smith et al., 1989; Lee et al., 1992; Hillier et al., 1994).



**Fig. 13.** Two models for the spatial distribution of Triton's N<sub>2</sub> ice in South polar projection, with areas free of N<sub>2</sub> ice shown in black and areas covered with N<sub>2</sub> shown in white. Longitude and latitude lines are at  $30^{\circ}$  intervals. The bottom panel shows the longitudinal variation in the N<sub>2</sub> integrated area, as in Fig. 3. The black curves show the behavior of the McEwen units model (dashed) and the thermal balance model (solid). Gray versions of these curves show how the two models might respond to seasonal recession of N<sub>2</sub> ice coverage.

These models call for N<sub>2</sub> band integrated areas for N<sub>2</sub>-covered regions in the range of 0.012–0.021 µm. Are such band areas even plausible for  $N_2$  ice? An integrated absorption of 0.02  $\mu$ m in  $N_2$  ice at 38 K (optical constants from Grundy et al., 1993) requires a mean optical path length within N<sub>2</sub> ice of about a meter. Such a path length would be produced by a  $\sim$ 40 cm thick slab of N<sub>2</sub> ice above a scattering substrate, when illuminated and viewed at a mean angle of 30° from the zenith, or by a particulate surface consisting of irregular, but smooth-surfaced  $\sim$ 7 cm N<sub>2</sub> ice "grains" (using ray-tracing procedures described by Abernathy et al., 2009). Both of these scenarios describe rather unusual surface textures. But such extreme textures seem to be required to provide the mean optical path lengths called for by the observational data, if Triton's N<sub>2</sub> absorption band is produced in only a fraction of the total projected surface area, as implied by the observed longitudinal variation.

One possible way to differentiate between N<sub>2</sub> ice distribution models such as these is to consider their responses to seasonal recession of N<sub>2</sub> ice, in comparison with the secular trends shown in Fig. 11. For the McEwen units model, we simulated seasonal recession by shifting the cut-off latitude Northward slightly, and for the thermal balance model, we did it by decreasing the cutoff energy slightly. The integrated band area in N<sub>2</sub>-covered areas was held constant. Both simulations resulted in slightly weaker  $N_2$  absorption because less area was covered by  $N_2$  ice, as shown with gray curves in Fig. 13. The greatest diminution was at longitudes where the N<sub>2</sub> absorption was already weakest, leading to increasing fractional variation. This evolutionary pattern differs from that described in Section 4 and shown in Fig. 11. If instead of changing N<sub>2</sub> ice areal coverage, seasonal evolution changes the texture of the ice in a way that reduces the band integrated area, N<sub>2</sub> absorption would drop most at the longitudes where the most N<sub>2</sub> absorption is seen, more consistent with Fig. 11. Textural evolution of the N<sub>2</sub> ice is expected from the solar gardening mechanisms described by Grundy and Stansberry (2000), although it is not clear that the trend should be toward the shorter mean optical path lengths in N<sub>2</sub> ice necessary to produce a diminishing absorption band.

A completely different approach involves considering the depths of the observed absorption bands. Imagine a region covered with a material that absorbs some fraction of the light from an absorption band, relative to reflectance at a continuum wavelength, surrounded by a region that reflects the same at both wavelengths. The most that the absorbing region can absorb is 100% of the light in the absorption band, so if, in a disk integrated spectrum, that band is 10% deep relative to continuum wavelengths, at least 10% of the observable projected area of the body must have that absorbing material present. This number is a very crude lower limit, since a much larger area could be covered if the absorption in the region in question was less than 100%. The shapes of Triton's absorption bands are consistent with being shallower than 100%, since 100% saturated bands tend to appear broadened, with flat bottoms. A smaller area could be covered if the uncovered area had unrelated absorption at the same wavelength, such as for  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$ , both of which absorb at 2.01  $\mu$ m. This situation creates ambiguities in assessing the appropriate continuum level for a band, calling for the exercise of some artistic license. Minimum fractional coverages estimated for various ices using this scheme are tabulated in Table 5, based on the grand average Triton spectrum in Fig. 1.

The minimum coverages for all five ices add up to considerably more than 100%, from which we can conclude that some regions must exhibit absorption by more than one ice species. From their similar volatilities and similar longitudinal distributions, we have already proposed that CO and N<sub>2</sub> ices co-occur, and from its wavelength shifts, much of Triton's CH<sub>4</sub> must be dissolved in N<sub>2</sub> ice as

#### Table 5

Estimated minimum fractional coverage for each ice.

Ice species	Minimum fractional coverage	Absorption band used in estimation
N <sub>2</sub>	18%	2.15 μm
CO CU	21%	2.35 μm
CH <sub>4</sub>	56% 20%	2.32 μm
H <sub>2</sub> O	28%	2.01 µm
2		r -

well. Combining N<sub>2</sub>, CO, and CH<sub>4</sub> within the  $\geq$  56% of the projected area required for CH<sub>4</sub> reduces the minimum total to much closer to 100%. Likewise, it would not be unreasonable to expect the non-volatile species H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>, both of which lack significant longitudinal spectral variability, to co-occur in "bedrock" outcrops. These arguments lead to a picture of Triton's surface as being composed of two distinct compositional units, a non-volatile bedrock consisting of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O covering at least 30% of the projected area, plus a volatile veneer composed of N<sub>2</sub>, CO, and CH<sub>4</sub> covering at least 56% of the projected area. Comparable scenarios have been proposed previously (e.g., Quirico et al., 1999), but as described below, our data show that the volatile unit must have regional variations in composition and/or texture.

With its  $\ge 56\%$  coverage, CH<sub>4</sub> ice must be very widely distributed. Its blue-shifted absorption bands imply it is mostly dissolved in N<sub>2</sub> ice, yet the longitudinal distribution of the N<sub>2</sub> ice absorption implies the nitrogen producing this absorption is distributed over a much smaller fraction of Triton's visible surface. Both N<sub>2</sub> distribution models shown in Fig. 13 cover considerably less than 56% of the visible face of Triton. The implication is that some regions that contain both N<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> ices are not contributing appreciably to the observed 2.15  $\mu m N_2$  ice absorption band but are contributing CH<sub>4</sub> absorption. N<sub>2</sub> ice will be spectrally undetectable if its particle size is small enough (mm or smaller), or if it contains abundant small scattering inclusions of another ice with a contrasting refractive index (or even of void space, e.g., Eluszkiewicz and Moncet, 2003). Such a situation might result from incorporation of windblown dust particles of H<sub>2</sub>O or CO<sub>2</sub> ice (Grundy et al., 2002b) with refractive indices at these wavelengths of n = 1.3 and n = 1.4, respectively (Toon et al., 1994; Hansen, 1997), or of incomplete or hindered densification (Eluszkiewicz et al., 2007). It could also occur if the temperature-dependent solubility of CH<sub>4</sub> in N<sub>2</sub> triggers exsolution of tiny methane-rich crystals, with  $n \approx 1.3$  (Pearl et al., 1991). The presence of spectrally invisible  $N_2$  ice has important implications for Triton's atmosphere to be discussed in a future paper. More widespread N<sub>2</sub> ice would intercept more sunlight and thus could support higher atmospheric pressures. It would also help to explain the presence of geysers discovered by Voyager in Triton's South polar regions and thought to be driven by sublimation of N<sub>2</sub> (Smith et al., 1989; Kirk et al., 1990; Soderblom et al., 1990).

An additional complexity of the volatile compositional unit is the observed trend of decreasing longitudinal variability from weak to strong CH<sub>4</sub> bands illustrated in Figs. 4 and 5 and Table 3. As discussed earlier, a possible explanation could be the existence of relatively localized regions around 300° longitude having large optical path lengths in CH<sub>4</sub>. From the shallow depths of the weak CH<sub>4</sub> bands (~5% for the 0.89 µm band), these regions of enhanced CH<sub>4</sub> absorption need not cover much of Triton's surface. They would contribute to the absorption in all of the CH<sub>4</sub> bands, but their spectral effect would be most evident in the weakest CH<sub>4</sub> bands which are almost too weak to detect in models fitted to the strongest CH<sub>4</sub> bands (the stronger bands require far shorter mean optical path lengths in CH<sub>4</sub>). If these hypothetical regions of greater CH<sub>4</sub> path length are comparatively depleted in N<sub>2</sub> ice, their CH<sub>4</sub> bands will be less blue-shifted than in other regions. This would lead to longitude-dependent shifts in the CH<sub>4</sub> bands, with minimum shifts coinciding with the longitudes of these regions. Such a pattern is exactly what we have observed, as plotted in Fig. 6. Unfortunately, we do not have enough information to be able to unambiguously link these anomalously CH<sub>4</sub>-rich regions to specific areas in Voyager images. However, the Lee et al. (1992) "possible extension of anomalous region" occupies a swath extending from about (280°E, 35°S) to about (320°E, 0°N), making it a good candidate to be this CH<sub>4</sub>-rich region. Morphologically, this region resembles cantaloupe terrain, but its appearance is unusual for cantaloupe terrain in featuring lobes of reddish, high albedo material (mapped by McEwen as Unit 3) apparently extending North into a region with lower albedo than most other cantaloupe terrain (mapped by McEwen as Unit 2). It is unclear if this appearance results from new CH<sub>4</sub> escaping from Triton's deep interior, from lag deposits produced by preferential sublimation loss of more volatile N<sub>2</sub> ice, or from some other process, but additional investigation of this unusual region seems to be warranted.

Neither the presence of  $CH_4$  diluted in  $N_2$  nor the small areal coverage of the regions of higher  $CH_4$  concentration should affect the  $N_2$  vapor pressure, as confirmed by the  $N_2$  surface pressure of 14  $\mu$  bars observed by the Voyager 2 radio occultation (Gurrola, 1995; Tryka et al., 1993). However, areas of enhanced  $CH_4$  (possibly even predominantly  $CH_4$ ) can increase the atmospheric mixing ratio of  $CH_4$  over that produced by dilute  $CH_4$  alone, as suggested by the atmospheric  $CH_4$  mixing ratio measured by the Voyager 2 UV solar occultation (Herbert and Sandel, 1991; Cruikshank et al., 1993; Stansberry et al., 1996).

## 6. Conclusion

Near-infrared spectra of Triton show distinct longitudinal variation patterns for absorptions by  $N_2$ , CO, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>O ices, constraining the spatial distributions of these different ice species. The variations have persisted over the past decade, implying no wholesale change in the spatial distributions of Triton's ices over that interval.

- Nitrogen ice absorption shows very pronounced oscillation as Triton rotates, being strongest when the leading side of the Neptune-facing hemisphere is oriented toward the observer. This pattern is consistent with a simple thermal balance model. It is also consistent with various subsets of the McEwen (1990) color units, but only if the N<sub>2</sub> is confined to parts of those units far from Triton's South pole.
- There is evidence for a subtle seasonal reduction in Triton's N<sub>2</sub> ice absorption, but the longitudinal pattern of this decline is consistent with a textural reduction in optical path length in N<sub>2</sub> ice, not a retreat of summer hemisphere N<sub>2</sub> ice coverage.
- Carbon monoxide absorption is strongest where nitrogen absorption is strongest. The very similar patterns of longitudinal variation, similar volatilities, and miscibility of CO in N<sub>2</sub> ice imply co-occurrence.
- Methane ice absorption is distributed very differently from N<sub>2</sub> and CO absorption, being strongest on the Neptune-facing side of Triton's trailing hemisphere. Weaker methane ice absorptions probe more deeply into Triton's surface and show stronger longitudinal variation than the stronger methane ice bands do. Wavelength shifts of methane bands indicate that the methane is mostly diluted in nitrogen ice, but the dilution is less where the methane absorptions are strongest. The location of the possible extension of the Lee et al. (1992) anomalous scattering region makes it a reasonable candidate to be this region of higher CH<sub>4</sub> concentration.

- Although Triton's methane is mostly diluted in nitrogen ice, the large fraction of Triton's visible area required to produce the strongest CH<sub>4</sub> absorption bands is inconsistent with the small fraction of the visible area needed to explain the larger longitudinal variation in the N<sub>2</sub> ice band. We conclude that some of Triton's mixed N<sub>2</sub> + CH<sub>4</sub> ice is not contributing appreciably to the observed 2.15  $\mu$ m N<sub>2</sub> band, perhaps because its texture produces relatively short mean optical path lengths. If CO co-occurs with this spectrally-hidden N<sub>2</sub> ice, it too must be hidden in order to match the longitudinal variation of the N<sub>2</sub>.
- Water and carbon dioxide ice absorptions are remarkably static as Triton rotates, implying globally homogeneous and/or exclusively high-latitude distributions for these non-volatile species.

We intend to continue our near-infrared spectral observations of Triton into the future, in order to better resolve seasonal effects and to continue the search for transient behavior. As one of several small outer solar system bodies with seasonally active volatile ices, these observations will help us understand the growing family which now includes Pluto, Eris, and Makemake. Perhaps others, as-yet undiscovered, will soon be added to their ranks, further boosting the prospects for comparative planetology of these strange, icy worlds.

## Acknowledgments

We are grateful to W. Golisch, D. Griep, P. Sears, E. Volquardsen, S.J. Bus, and J.T. Rayner for assistance with the IRTF and with SpeX, and to NASA for its support of the IRTF. We thank M.R. Showalter for the Rings Node's on-line ephemeris services, and also NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory for the Horizons on-line ephemeris services. We also thank two anonymous reviewers for helpful suggestions and the free and open source communities for empowering us with software used to complete this project, notably Linux, the GNU tools, LaTeX, FVWM, Tcl/Tk, Python, Evolution, and MyS-QL. We recognize the cultural significance of the summit of Mauna Kea within the indigenous Hawaiian community and are grateful for the opportunity to observe from this site. This work has been funded by NSF Grants AST-0407214 and AST-0085614 and by NASA Grants NAG5-4210, NAG5-10497, NAG5-12516, and NNG04G172G.

### References

- Abernathy, M.R., Tegler, S.C., Grundy, W.M., Licandro, J., Romanishin, W., Cornelison, D., Vilas, F., 2009. Digging into the surface of the icy dwarf planet Eris. Icarus 199, 520–525.
- Brown Jr., G.N., Ziegler, W.T., 1980. Vapor pressure and heats of vaporization and sublimation of liquids and solids of interest in cryogenics below 1-atm pressure. Adv. Cryogenic Eng. 25, 662–670.
- Brown, R.H., Cruikshank, D.P., Veverka, J., Helfenstein, P., Eluszkiewicz, J., 1995. Surface composition and photometric properties of Triton. In: Cruikshank, D.P. (Ed.), Neptune and Triton. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.
- Brunetto, R., Caniglia, G., Baratta, G.A., Palumbo, M.E., 2008. Integrated near-infrared band strengths of solid CH<sub>4</sub> and its mixtures with N<sub>2</sub>. Astrophys. J. 686, 1480– 1485.
- Buratti, B.J., Goguen, J.D., Gibson, J., Mosher, J., 1994. Historical photometric evidence for volatile migration on Triton. Icarus 110, 303–314.
- Buratti, B.J., Hicks, M.D., Newburn Jr., R.L., 1999. Does global warming make Triton blush? Nature 397, 219–220.
- Bus, S.J., Denault, A.J., Rayner, J.T., Binzel, R.P., Bîrlan, M., 2002. Remote observing at the NASA infrared telescope facility (IRTF). SPIE 4845, 94–99.
- Cornelison, D.M., Tegler, S.C., Grundy, W., Abernathy, M., 2008. Near-infrared laboratory spectroscopy of CH<sub>4</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> ice mixtures: Implications for icy dwarf planets. Paper presented at The Science of Solar System Ices (ScSSI): A Crossdisciplinary Workshop, Oxnard, CA, 2008 May 5–8.
- Croft, S.K., Kargel, J.S., Kirk, R.L., Moore, J.M., Schenk, P.M., Strom, R.G., 1995. The geology of Triton. In: Cruikshank, D.P. (Ed.), Neptune and Triton. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.
- Cruikshank, D.P., Stockton, A., Dyck, H.M., Becklin, E.E., Macy Jr., W., 1979. The diameter and reflectance of Triton. Icarus 40, 104–114.

- Cruikshank, D.P., Roush, T.L., Owen, T.C., Geballe, T.R., de Bergh, C., Schmitt, B., Brown, R.H., Bartholomew, M.J., 1993. Ices on the surface of Triton. Science 261, 742–745.
- Cruikshank, D.P., Mason, R.E., Dalle Ore, C.M., Bernstein, M.P., Quirico, E., Mastrapa, R.M., Emery, J.P., Owen, T.C., 2006. Ethane on Pluto and Triton. Bull. Am. Astron. Soc. 38, 518 (abstract).
- Elliot, J.L., and 17 colleagues, 2000. The prediction and observation of the 1997 July 18 stellar occultation by Triton: More evidence for distortion and increasing pressure in Triton's atmosphere. Icarus 148, 347–369.
- Eluszkiewicz, J., 1991. On the microphysical state of the surface of Triton. J. Geophys. Res. 96, 19217–19229.
- Eluszkiewicz, E., Moncet, J.L., 2003. A coupled microphysical/radiative transfer model of albedo and emissivity of planetary surfaces covered by volatile ices. Icarus 166, 375–384.
- Eluszkiewicz, E., Cady-Pereira, K., Brown, M.E., Stansberry, J.A., 2007. Interpretation of the near-IR spectra of the Kuiper belt object (136472) 2005 FY<sub>9</sub>. J. Geophys. Res. 112, E06003.1–E06003.8.
- Grundy, W.M., Schmitt, B., Quirico, E., 1993. The temperature dependent spectra of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  nitrogen ice with application to Triton. Icarus 105, 254–258.
- Grundy, W.M., Schmitt, B., Quirico, E., 2002a. The temperature dependent spectrum of methane ice I between 0.65 and 5 microns and opportunities for near-infrared remote thermometry. Icarus 155, 486–496.
- Grundy, W.M., Buie, M.W., Spencer, J.R., 2002b. Spectroscopy of Pluto and Triton at 3–4 microns: Possible evidence for wide distribution of nonvolatile solids. Astron. J. 124, 2273–2278.
- Grundy, W.M., Young, L.A., Spencer, J.R., Johnson, R.E., Young, E.F., Buie, M.W., 2006. Distributions of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> ices on Ariel, Umbriel, Titania, and Oberon from IRTF/SpeX observations. Icarus 184, 543–555.
- Grundy, W.M., Fink, U., 1996. Synoptic CCD spectrophotometry of Pluto over the past 15 years. Icarus 124, 329–343.
- Grundy, W.M., Stansberry, J.A., 2000. Solar gardening and the seasonal evolution of nitrogen ice on Triton and Pluto. Icarus 148, 340–346.
- Grundy, W.M., Young, L.A., 2004. Near infrared spectral monitoring of Triton with IRTF/SpeX I: Establishing a baseline. Icarus 172, 455–465.
- Gurrola, E.M., 1995. Interpretation of Radar data from the Icy Galilean Satellites and Triton. Ph.D. thesis, Stanford University.
- Hansen, C.J., Paige, D.A., 1992. A thermal model for the seasonal nitrogen cycle on Triton. Icarus 99, 273–288.
- Hansen, G.B., 1997. The infrared absorption spectrum of carbon dioxide ice from 1.8 to 333 microns. J. Geophys. Res. 102, 21569–21587.
- Herbert, F., Sandel, B.R., 1991. CH<sub>4</sub> and haze in Triton's lower atmosphere. J. Geophys. Res. 96, 19241–19252.
- Hicks, M.D., Buratti, B.J., 2004. The spectral variability of Triton from 1997–2000. Icarus 171, 210–218.
- Hillier, J., Veverka, J., Helfenstein, P., McEwen, A., 1991. The wavelength dependence of Triton's light curve. J. Geophys. Res. 96, 19211–19215.
- Hillier, J., Veverka, J., Helfenstein, P., Lee, P., 1994. Photometric diversity of terrains on Triton. Icarus 109, 296–312.
- Houk, N., Smith-Moore, M., 1988. Catalogue of Two-dimensional Spectral Types for the HD Stars, vol. 4. Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI.
- Kirk, R.L., Brown, R.H., Soderblom, L.A., 1990. Subsurface energy storage and transport for solar-powered geysers on Triton. Science 250, 424–429.
- Lee, P., Helfenstein, P., Veverka, J., McCarthy, D., 1992. Anomalous-scattering region on Triton. Icarus 99, 82–97.
- Legay, F., Legay-Sommaire, N., 1982. Vibrational absorption spectrum of solid CO in the first harmonic region: Two-phonon transition. Chem. Phys. 65, 49–57.
- Licandro, J., Grundy, W.M., Pinilla-Alonso, N., Leysi, P., 2006. Visible spectroscopy of TNO 2003 UB<sub>313</sub>: Evidence for N<sub>2</sub> ice on the surface of the largest TNO? Astron. Astrophys. 458, L5–L8.
- Lunine, J.I., Stevenson, D.J., 1985. Physical state of volatiles on the surface of Triton. Nature 317, 238-240.
- McEwen, A.S., 1990. Global color and albedo variations on Triton. Geophys. Res. Lett. 17, 1765–1768.
- Merlin, F., Alvarez-Candal, A., Delsanti, A., Fornasier, S., Barucci, M.A., DeMeo, F.E., de Bergh, C., Doressoundiram, A., Quirico, E., Schmitt, B., 2009. Stratification of methane ice on Eris' surface. Astron. J. 137, 315–328.
- Neckel, H., 1986. The "bright stars" with UBV-colors close to those of the Sun. Astron. Astrophys. 169, 194–200.

- Owen, T.C., Roush, T.L., Cruikshank, D.P., Elliot, J.L., Young, L.A., de Bergh, C., Schmitt, B., Geballe, T.R., Brown, R.H., Bartholomew, M.J., 1993. Surface ices and atmospheric composition of Pluto. Science 261, 745–748.
- Pearl, J., Ngoh, M., Ospina, M., Khanna, R., 1991. Optical constants of solid methane and ethane from 10,000 to 450 cm<sup>1</sup>. J. Geophys. Res. 96, 17477–17482.
- Pourbaix, D., Tokovinin, A.A., Batten, A.H., Fekel, F.C., Hartkopf, W.I., Levato, H., Morrell, N.I., Torres, G., Udry, S., 2004. S<sup>9</sup><sub>B</sub>: The ninth catalogue of spectroscopic binary orbits. Astron. Astrophys. 424, 727–732.
- Prokhvatilov, A.I., Yantsevich, L.D., 1983. X-ray investigation of the equilibrium phase diagram of  $CH_4-N_2$  solid mixtures. Sov. J. Low Temp. Phys. 9, 94–98.
- Quirico, E., Schmitt, B., 1997a. Near-infrared spectroscopy of simple hydrocarbons and carbon oxides diluted in solid  $N_2$  and as pure ices: Implications for Triton and Pluto. Icarus 127, 354–378.
- Quirico, E., Schmitt, B., 1997b. A spectroscopic study of CO diluted in  $N_2$  ice: Applications for Triton and Pluto. Icarus 128, 181–188.
- Quirico, E., Douté, S., Schmitt, B., de Bergh, C., Cruikshank, D.P., Owen, T.C., Geballe, T.R., Roush, T.L., 1999. Composition, physical state, and distribution of ices at the surface of Triton. Icarus 139, 159–178.
- Rayner, J.T., Toomey, D.W., Onaka, P.M., Denault, A.J., Stahlberger, W.E., Watanabe, D.Y., Wang, S.I., 1998. SpeX: A medium-resolution IR spectrograph for IRTF. Proc. SPIE 3354, 468–479.
- Rayner, J.T., Toomey, D.W., Onaka, P.M., Denault, A.J., Stahlberger, W.E., Vacca, W.D., Cushing, M.C., Wang, S., 2003. SpeX: A medium-resolution 0.8–5.5 micron spectrograph and imager for the NASA infrared telescope facility. Publ. Astron. Soc. Pacific 115, 362–382.
- Schenk, P.M., Zahnle, K., 2007. On the negligible surface age of Triton. Icarus 192, 135–149.
- Scott, T.A., 1976. Solid and liquid nitrogen. Phys. Rep. 27, 89–157.
- Soderblom, L.A., Kieffer, S.W., Becker, T.L., Brown, R.H., Cook II, A.F., Hansen, C.J., Johnson, T.V., Kirk, R.L., Shoemaker, E.M., 1990. Triton's geyser-like plumes: Discovery and basic characterization. Science 250, 410–415.
- Smith, B.A., and 64 colleagues, 1989. Voyager 2 at Neptune: Imaging science results. Science 246, 1422–1449.
- Spencer, J.R., 1990. Nitrogen frost migration on Triton: A historical model. Geophys. Res. Lett. 17, 1769–1772.
- Spencer, J.R., Moore, J.M., 1992. The influence of thermal inertia on temperatures and frost stability on Triton. Icarus 99, 261–272.
- Stansberry, J.A., 1989. Albedo patterns on Triton. Geophys. Res. Lett. 16, 961–964.
- Stansberry, J.A., Yelle, R.V., Lunine, J.I., McEwen, A.S., 1992. Triton's surfaceatmosphere energy balance. Icarus 99, 242–260.
- Stansberry, J.A., Spencer, J.R., Schmitt, B., Benchkoura, A.I., Yelle, R.V., Lunine, J.I., 1996. A model for the overabundance of methane in the atmospheres of Pluto and Triton. Planet. Space Sci. 44, 1051–1063.
- Stern, S.A., McKinnon, W.B., 2000. Triton's surface age and impactor population revisited in light of Kuiper belt fluxes: Evidence for small Kuiper belt objects and recent geological activity. Astron. J. 119, 945–952.
- Stryk, T., Stooke, P.J., 2009. Triton cerscient imaging revisited: Cartography and geology. LPSC 40, 1710 (abstract).
- Tegler, S.C., Grundy, W.M., Vilas, F., Romanishin, W., Cornelison, D., Consolmagno, G.J., S.J., 2008. Evidence of N<sub>2</sub>-ice on the surface of the icy dwarf planet 136472 (2005 FY<sub>9</sub>). Icarus 195, 844–850.
- Thompson, W.R., Sagan, C., 1990. Color and chemistry on Triton. Science 250, 415–418.
- Toon, O.B., Tolbert, M.A., Koehler, B.G., Middlebrook, A.M., Jordan, J., 1994. Infrared optical constants of H<sub>2</sub>O ice, amorphous nitric acid solutions, and nitric acid hydrates. J. Geophys. Res. 99, 25631–25654.
- Trafton, L.M., Matson, D.L., Stansberry, J.A., 1998. Surface/atmosphere interactions and volatile transport (Triton, Pluto, and Io). In: Schmitt, B., de Bergh, C., Festou, M. (Eds.), Solar System Ices. Kluwer Academic, Boston, pp. 773–812.
- Tryka, K.A., Brown, R.H., Anicich, V., Cruikshank, D.P., Owen, T.C., 1993. Spectroscopic determination of the phase composition and temperature of nitrogen ice on Triton. Science 261, 751–754.
- Yelle, R.V., 1992. The effect of surface roughness on Triton's volatile distribution. Science 255, 1553–1555.
- Young, L.A., Stern, S.A., 2001. Ultraviolet observations of Triton in 1999 with the space telescope imaging spectrograph: 2150–3180 Å spectroscopy and diskintegrated photometry. Astron. J. 122, 449–456.