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We have deduced the orbital and size distributions of the near-Earth asteroids (NEAs) by (i) numerically integrating NEAs from their source regions to their observed orbits, (ii) estimating the observational biases and size distribution associated with asteroids on those orbits, and (iii) creating a model population that can be fit to the known NEAs. We predict that there are ~900 NEAs with absolute magnitude less than 18 (that is, kilometer-sized), of which 29, 65, and 7, 8, 29, 65, and 7, 8

Most NEAs [definition in (7)] are believed to be fragments of main belt asteroids that, after ejection in a collision event involving a larger asteroid millions of years ago, wandered through space until reaching an Earth-approaching orbit (2). Evidence from the lunar and terrestrial crater record indicates that this population has bombarded Earth over the age of the solar system, and related geologic evidence indicates that the collision of a multi-kilometer asteroid with Earth can wreak regional-to-global devastation on our biosphere (3).

Despite widespread recognition of the NEA impact hazard (4), the distributions of NEA orbits and sizes remain uncertain. As of April 2000, ~950 NEAs have been detected that have absolute magnitude H between 10 and 29 (5), roughly corresponding to asteroid diameters D between 40 and 0.01 km (6). It is likely that only NEAs brighter than H ~ 14 (D > 7 km) have been completely discovered (7, 8); these 16 objects are too few to help determine the orbital distribution of the smaller NEAs. An added complication is that the observed distribution of H > 14 NEAs is heavily skewed because (i) they were discovered piecemeal by different asteroid survey programs following a variety of detection strategies; and (ii) each survey is flux-limited, so that the volume of space it investigates varies strongly with H (9, 10). Even worse, our understanding of the orbital paths taken by bodies to replenish the NEA population is incomplete, making it difficult to use specific NEAs as tracers of large-scale dynamical processes. Interpreting the orbital distribution of the NEA population, therefore, requires a knowledge of the detection biases involved and a characterization of the statistical evolution of NEAs from their sources.

To attack these problems, we constructed a steady-state model of the orbital and size distributions of the NEAs (11). NEA orbits were generated by tracking the dynamical evolution of test bodies coming out of three so-called intermediate source (ISs): regions that are constantly fed material escaping the main belt. The relative contributions of the ISs are expressed through weighting coefficients. The NEA size distribution, assumed to be orbit-independent, was constructed so that its shape could be manipulated using a single parameter. Combining these components with the observational biases associated with the Spacewatch NEA survey, we obtained a model distribution (with three adjustable parameters) that could be fit to the orbits and sizes of the NEAs discovered or accidentally rediscovered by Spacewatch. The best-fit parameters extracted from this technique were then used to calculate the debiased NEA population.

The physical and orbital properties of NEAs suggest that many originated in the main belt (2). Asteroid fragments, liberated during collisions in the main belt, are directly injected (12) or slowly moved via Yarkovsky thermal drag (13) into both mean-motion resonances with the planets and so-called secular resonances, where orbital frequencies are commensurate with the solar system’s natural frequencies (14). These mechanisms create three important ISs for the NEAs: (i) asteroids in the 3:1 mean-motion resonance with Jupiter; (ii) asteroids in the 1:1 secular resonance; and (iii) asteroids on Mars-crossing orbits adjacent to the main belt, which have not yet entered the NEA region. The eccentricities (and inclinations) of the IS asteroids are modified by resonant perturbations and/or planetary encounters until they reach the NEA region (15–17). Other potential ISs (for example, asteroids in the 5:2 resonance with Jupiter) provide relatively few NEAs (18).

To understand the orbital paths followed...
by NEAs from our IS regions, we start test bodies in each IS and track them as they cross a network of semimajor axis, eccentricity, inclination \((a, e, i)\) cells placed in the NEA region. Each \((a, e, i)\) cell is 0.06 astronomical units \((AU) \times 0.02 \times 5^\circ\) in volume. The test bodies were followed with the use of the mixed-variable symplectic N-body code swift-mv3 \((19)\). Gravitational perturbations from the planets Venus through Neptune were included in our integrations. Test bodies entering the NEA region were followed until they collided with the sun or a planet or were thrown beyond 10 AU, usually by a close encounter with Jupiter. We call these end states the sinks. Few objects \((<1\%)\) entering the NEA region were found to live longer than 100 million years \((My)\), the nominal length of our integrations. Those that did were tracked until they were removed by a sink. Rare end states, such as collisional or tidal disruption events, were not included \((20)\).

To determine the steady-state orbital distribution of NEAs coming from each IS, we calculated the cumulative time that particles spent in each cell and normalized those values by the total time spent in all cells. The resultant residence time probability distribution \(R_{\text{IS}}(a, e, i)\) shows where asteroids from each IS statistically spend their time in the NEA region \((21)\). To prevent under- or overestimates in \(R_{\text{IS}}\), the initial orbits of the test bodies were placed outside the NEA region.

\(R_{\text{IS}}(a, e, i)\) was calculated by tracking 2354 test bodies \((e < 0.35; i < 15^\circ)\) started within the boundaries of the 3:1 mean-motion resonance with Jupiter, located at \(-2.5\) AU \((16, 21)\) \((\text{Fig. 1})\). Strong resonant e-pumping allowed most bodies to reach NEA orbits in \(-1\) My. Tests indicated that starting conditions have little influence on test body outcomes. The average time spent by a test body in the NEA region before entering a sink was \(2.2\) My. Only 38\% of the steady-state NEA population coming from the 3:1 resonance had \(a < 2\) AU: the region where most known NEAs reside.

For \(R_{\text{IS}}(a, e, i)\), we followed 3519 test bodies started in the strong portion of the \(v_6\) secular resonance \((22)\), where periodic oscillations in \(e\) caused by the resonance can move them onto NEA orbits \((16, 21)\) \((\text{Fig. 1})\). The average time spent by these objects in the NEA region was \(6.5\) My. Seventy percent of the steady-state population coming from the \(v_6\) resonance attained \(a < 2\) AU, nearly twice the fraction of the 3:1 NEAs.

Our third IS is the component of the Mars-crossing asteroid population adjacent to the main belt. Bodies in this region, defined as intermediate source Mars-crossers \((\text{IMCs})\), have orbital parameters \(q > 1.3\) AU, \(2.06\) AU \(\leq a \leq 2.48\) AU, or \(2.52\) AU \(\leq a < 2.8\) AU, \(i\) below the location of the \(v_6\) resonance \([i \sim 15^\circ\) or less \((21)\)] and a combination of \((a, e, i)\) values such that they cross the orbit of Mars during a secular oscillation cycle of their eccentricity \((17)\). To offset leakage into the NEA region, these IMC objects are resupplied predominantly by numerous Mars- and three-body \((\text{such as Jupiter-Saturn-asteroid})\) mean-motion resonances \((17)\) and by material in the vicinity of the \(v_6\) resonance \((23)\). Our numerical simulations suggest that the IMCs are a primary source of NEAs; other populations on Mars-crossing orbits are not as effective, with the exception of those bodies that are members of the other two ISs described in this paper.

The IMC population changes over time as secular perturbations modify Mars’s eccentricity. To ensure that past, present, and future IMC asteroids were accounted for, we integrated 2977 known asteroids with perihelia \(1.3\) AU \(< q < 1.8\) AU, \(2.00\) AU \(< a < 2.8\) AU, and \(i < 15^\circ\) \((5)\). Asteroids started near the 3:1 resonance or well inside the \(v_6\) resonance were removed from our outcome statistics \((21)\). We found that 755 of these bodies entered the NEA region over the integration time. These bodies were tracked until they entered one of the sinks, and their orbital paths were used to generate \(R_{\text{IMC}}(a, e, i)\). To make sure that \(R_{\text{IMC}}(a, e, i)\) was not dependent on initial conditions, we independently integrated a smaller set of objects with \(1.3\) AU \(< q < 1.78\) AU. Although fewer objects \((542)\) entered the NEA region, no significant differences were seen in the shape of \(R_{\text{IMC}}(a, e, i)\). For this reason, our final version of \(R_{\text{IMC}}(a, e, i)\) includes data from both sets. A complication in using real IMC asteroids to map orbital paths in the NEA region is that these objects are biased by observational selection. To compensate for this effect, we weighted the orbital paths of all IMCs with a numerical factor corresponding to the observational biases associated with their starting orbit \((25)\).

The results for \(R_{\text{IMC}}(a, e, i)\) \((\text{Fig. 1})\) suggest that the mechanisms pushing IMCs onto Earth-crossing orbits are weak, so that many objects evolve along the \(q \sim 1\) AU line by Earth encounters \((24)\). The average time spent by an IMC object in the NEA region before entering a sink was \(3.85\) My. In this case, 53\% of the steady-state NEA population from the IMC region had \(a < 2\) AU.

Each \(R_{\text{IS}}(a, e, i)\) described above produces a characteristic orbital distribution that is different from the others. This property allows us to model the steady-state orbital distribution of the NEAs \([R_{\text{NEA}}(a, e, i)]\) as a linear combination of \(R_{\text{IS}}(a, e, i)\) multiplied by unknown weighting factors \((\alpha_{\text{IS}})\)

\[
R_{\text{NEA}} = \alpha_{\text{IS}} R_{\text{IS}} + \alpha_{\text{NEA}} R_{\text{NEA}} + \alpha_{\text{SEC}} R_{\text{SEC}} \tag{1}
\]

The sum of all \(\alpha_{\text{IS}}\) values is 1.0, with 0.0 \(< \alpha_{\text{IS}} < 1.0\).

Now that we have a function describing the NEA orbital distribution, we can examine the NEA absolute magnitude distribution \(N_{\text{NEA}}(H)\). We assume that each IS has the same size distribution. We concentrate on the \(13 < H < 22\) range \((26)\). The shape of \(N_{\text{NEA}}(H)\) was determined by testing several functional forms with free parameters. Some of our trial assumptions were based on previous work \((10, 27, 28)\). Our most successful characterization of the differential distribution \(N_{\text{NEA}}(H)\) for \(13 < H < 22\) was

\[
N_{\text{NEA}}(H) = C \times 10^{\gamma(H-11)} \tag{2}
\]

where \(\gamma\) is a free parameter and \(C\) is a constant set by the total number of NEAs with \(13 < H < 15\).

Our model of the debiased orbital and size distribution of NEAs is described in this paper.
distribution of the NEAs, $M(a, e, i, H)$, is

$$M(a, e, i, H) = R_{\text{NEA}}(a, e, i) \times N_{\text{NEA}}(H)$$

(3)

If the free parameters $\alpha_{\text{IS}}$ and $\gamma$ reflect actual NEA values, we can use our model to describe the orbital and size distributions of all NEAs with $H < 22$ (that is, larger than $\sim 170$ m in diameter).

To determine $\alpha_{\text{IS}}$ and $\gamma$, we need to compare $M$ with the known NEAs. This cannot be done until we compensate for NEA discovery biases. Because each asteroid survey finds objects based on its search strategy, the limiting magnitude of its detector, and its system characteristics, each survey has unique discovery biases. For this reason, we focus here on debiasing results from the Spacewatch NEA search program, whose observing methodology and apparatus are well documented (9, 10).

We used an earlier calculation of the bias $B(a, e, i, H)$ (10) for the Spacewatch detector system. The bias is a correction factor ($n = B(N)$) between the observed number ($n$) and actual number ($N$) of asteroids in an $(a, e, i, H)$ bin per square degree at opposition at the vernal equinox. It can be thought of as an asteroid detection probability. Here, $B$ has been calculated for the range $0.5 \, \text{AU} < a < 2.8 \, \text{AU}$, $e < 0.8$, $i < 35^\circ$, and $H < 22$, which we define as the NEA target region. High $B$ values correspond to easily detected asteroids, whereas low $B$ values correspond to difficult-to-detect asteroids (29).

Only a small proportion of Spacewatch’s detections are NEAs. To separate NEAs from more numerous background asteroids, Spacewatch calculates the angular rate of motion for each detected body and uses this value as a discriminant. At opposition, objects with ecliptic latitude rates between $\pm 0.3$ degrees per day and ecliptic longitude rates between $-0.2$ to $-0.3$ degrees per day are usually main belt or IMC asteroids (10). Asteroids with rates of motion outside this zone are flagged as potential NEAs and are followed over several observing nights until an orbit solution is obtained. If that solution yields $q < 1.3$ AU, Spacewatch reports an NEA discovery. This method, although useful, eliminates some NEAs; perhaps a third of all of Spacewatch’s NEA detections have rates of motion that mimic typical main belt asteroids. Most excluded NEAs have $a > 2$ AU. The bias calculation we use incorporates a filter based on Spacewatch’s selection of NEAs in the determination of the correction factor $B$. We call this more specific bias $B_{\text{NEA}}$ (30).

Using $B_{\text{NEA}}$, our predicted distribution for the observed Spacewatch NEAs is

$$n_{\text{NEA}}(a, e, i, H) = B_{\text{NEA}}(a, e, i, H) \times M(a, e, i, H) = B_{\text{NEA}}(a, e, i, H) R_{\text{NEA}}(a, e, i) N_{\text{NEA}}(H)$$

(4)

To simultaneously test in four dimensions how well this distribution matches real data, we compared $n$ with 138 NEAs discovered and accidentally rediscovered by Spacewatch (31), using a maximum-likelihood technique (32). We computed the best-fit parameters $\alpha_{\text{IS}}$ and $\gamma$ by maximizing the likelihood value. Our best fit yielded $\alpha_{\text{IS},1} = 0.36^{+0.13}_{-0.14}$ and $\alpha_{\text{IMC}} = 0.29^{+0.19}_{-0.20}$ with $\alpha$, constrained by the relation $\alpha_{i,1} + \alpha_{\text{IMC}} + \alpha_{i,6} = 1.0$. At the best-fit values, $\alpha_{i,6} = 0.35$. The best-fit $\gamma = 0.35 \pm 0.02$. The statistical errors of our fit were determined with a Monte Carlo method (33). These values only apply to the specified NEA target region. The parameter $C$ in Eq. 2 is then fixed by imposing that $J_{1,1}^{15} N_{\text{NEA}}(H) dH = 66$ (34). With the best-fit value of $\gamma$ reported above, we obtain $C = 13.26$.

We can graphically compare our 138 Spacewatch NEAs to our best-fit case by collapsing our results into four one-dimensional plots over $a$, $e$, $i$, and $H$ (Fig. 2). We find that our three IS regions account for the vast majority of known NEAs, so that our estimate for $M(a, e, i, H)$ should be accurate enough for general use by observers and theoreticians. The quality of the fit also implies that additional sources of NEAs, such as extinct comets (35), cannot produce a significant fraction of $H < 22$ NEAs unless they also produce orbital signatures similar to those of $R_{\text{IS}}$ already considered.

Using the best-fit parameters for the NEA target region, we derive $M(a, e, i, H)$, the debiased orbital and size distributions for the entire NEA region ($e < 1.0$, $i < 90^\circ$, $H < 22$). The contributions of the IS regions (3:1, IMC, and $\nu_6$) to $M$ are 40, 27, and 33%, respectively. These values deviate from $\alpha_{\text{IS}}$ because the 3:1 resonance pumps up asteroid $e$ and $i$ values beyond the limits of the target region, which in turn increases its contribution to the NEA population at the expense of the other IS regions.

The results for the debiased population (Fig. 3) focus on $H < 18$ objects alone because these bodies, roughly $\sim 1$ km in diameter (6), are thought to produce global destruction when striking Earth (4, 27) (Table 1). Starting with the NEA $H$ distribution, we find that our estimate of the power law exponent $\gamma = 0.35 \pm 0.02$ for $N_{\text{NEA}}(15 < H < 22)$ is the same as that derived by earlier analyses of Spacewatch data (36) and by the NEAT asteroid survey using debiased data (8). We predict that there are $\sim 910^{+120}_{-100}$ NEAs with $H < 18$, which is about a factor of 1.5 to 2.0 lower than pre-1999 estimates (27, 28), but 1.3 times larger than that of Rabinowitz et al. (8), who used a different normalization method.

About half of the NEA population has $a \geq 2$ AU. Objects in this region are generally removed within a few million years by solar collisions or through close encounters with Jupiter. The remaining NEAs reach $a < 2$ AU orbits by encounters with the terrestrial planets, though they must first survive passage through multiple resonances between 1.8 and 2.0 AU (that is, 4:1 and 5:1 mean-motion resonance with Jupiter; $\nu_6$ and $\nu_{10}$ secular resonances). In terms of observational completeness, we...
predict that ~40% of the $H < 18$ (kilometer-sized) NEAs have been discovered. Many NEAs with $e \leq 0.4$ and $i \leq 10^\circ$ have been discovered (~70 and ~60%, respectively). These NEAs are relatively easy targets for asteroid surveys. A significant number of NEAs, however, hide at high $e$, $i$, and $v_e$ values, making them more difficult to detect.

The fractions of NEAs with $15 < H < 22$ on Amor, Apollo, and Aten orbits ($\mathcal{I}$) are $29 \pm 2\%$, $65 \pm 2\%$, and $6 \pm 2\%$, respectively. Few Atens exist because the orbital pathways to this region are long and tortuous enough that significant attrition occurs. Using our best-fit parameters, we predict there are $260^{+46}_{-40}$ Amors, 590$^{+90}_{-100}$ Apollos, and 56$^{+13}_{-11}$ Atens with $H < 18$. These values suggest that ~30% of the km-sized Apollos and Atens and nearly 70% of the Amors have been discovered. Amors generally have higher $R_{\text{NNEA}}$ and lower $e$, $i$ than do Apollos and Atens, which may explain this disparity. The asteroid population residing inside Earth’s orbit (aphelia $Q < 0.983$ AU) is about 2% of the NEA population. We predict that there are $20^{+4}_{-6}$ of the bodies with $H < 18$, with nearly all residing on Venus-crossing orbits.

We can also infer how much material comes from the various IS regions. About 43% of the Apollos and 36% of the Amors come from the $3:1$ resonance. In terms of orbitally evolved populations (those closest to the sun), 50% of the Atens and the $Q < 0.983$ AU asteroids come from the $v_e$ resonance, probably because a large fraction of material from the $v_e$ resonance (70%) reaches $a < 2$ AU.

Using the formula $D = 4365 \times 10^{4.65}$ (6) with $\gamma = 0.35$ to transform $N_{\text{NEA}}(H)$ into a cumulative size distribution, we find that the average power law slope between 170-m and 4-km asteroids is $\sim 1.8$. This value is shallower than the slope for a population in simple collisional equilibrium [2.5 (37)] or one dominated by fresh collisional debris [2.5 (38)], but it is reasonably close to the estimated size distribution of km-sized asteroids in the main belt (10). It also agrees with the size distributions of youthful cratered surfaces on Venus, Earth, Mars, and the moon (39).

If our derived slope is valid, it calls into question the scenario for NEA delivery that claims that main belt collisions resupply NEAs by directly injecting fragments into the $3:1$ or $v_e$ resonances (12). The mean lifetime of objects in the NEA region is only a few $My$—too short for collisions to significantly change the size distribution for fresh debris (13). It is possible that different IS regions have different size distributions, but it is unclear why collisional injection would work better in some main belt regions than in others.

An alternative NEA delivery scenario, which is more consistent with our model results, is that main belt asteroids drift into the $3:1$ or $v_e$ resonances via some drag force such as the Yarkovsky effect (13). The expected drift for km-sized bodies is $\sim 10^{-4}$ AU My$^{-1}$: slow enough for new debris to undergo collisional evolution before entering the IS. The NEA and IS size distributions, therefore, may be extensions of the main belt size distribution between 2.1 and 2.8 AU.

If the Yarkovsky effect is the dominant means by which asteroids enter the IS, we expect $a_{\text{MS}}$ to vary with size. Numerical simulations show that small objects, with fast drift rates, jump over the tiny resonances feeding the MC regions to enter the powerful $3:1$ or $v_e$ resonances (13). Conversely, the largest NEAs (such as 433 Eros) are hardly affected by the Yarkovsky effect, so that their most likely source would be the numerous tiny resonances feeding the IMC region (17).

In terms of our model results, the $a_{\text{MS}}$ values we find may be more characteristic of km and sub-km NEAs than of multi-kilometer NEAs because two-thirds of the Spacewatch NEAs have $18 < H < 22$.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NEA</th>
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<th>$Q &lt; 0.983$ AU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
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